

Characterization of division μ -LA-semigroups

Qaiser Mushtaq and Khalid Mahmood

Abstract

Let G be a left almost semigroup (LA-semigroup), also known as Abel-Grassman's groupoid and a left invertive groupoid. In this paper we have shown that G is a division μ -LA-semigroup if and only if it has a linear form. Characterization of division μ -LA-semigroups is also done by using permutations.

1. Introduction

A left almost semigroup [2], abbreviated as LA-semigroup, is an algebraic structure midway between a groupoid and a commutative semigroup. Although the structure is non-associative and non-commutative, nevertheless, it possesses many interesting properties which we usually find in associative and commutative algebraic structures.

Kazim and Naseerudin have introduced the concept of an LA-semigroup and have investigated some basic but important characteristics of this structure in [2]. They have generalized some useful results of semigroup theory. Relationships between LA-semigroups and quasigroups, semigroups, loops, monoids, and groups have been established.

Later, Mushtaq and others in [1], [5], [6], [7], [8], and [10] have studied the structure further and added many results to the theory of LA-semigroups. Holgate [1], has called the same structure as left invertive groupoid. It is also known as Abel-Grassman's groupoid or AG-groupoid. In this paper we shall call it LA-semigroup.

Kepka [4] has done extensive study of quasigroups satisfying some weak forms of the medial law. In this paper we have extended some of his results to LA-semigroups.

A groupoid $\mathcal{G} = (G, \cdot)$ is called a *left almost semigroup*, abbreviated as *LA-semigroup*, if its elements satisfy the *left invertive law*: $(ab)c = (cb)a$. Examples of LA-semigroups can be found in [5] and [6].

An element $e \in G$ is called a *left identity* if $ea = a$ for all $a \in G$. An element $a' \in G$ is called a *left inverse* of a if \mathcal{G} contains left identity e and $a'a = e$. As in the case of semigroups, both e and a' are unique [5]. In [5] it is proved also that if \mathcal{G} contains a left identity then $ab = cd$ implies $ba = dc$ for all $a, b, c, d \in G$. As in the case of semigroups, an element a of an LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} is called *left cancellative* if $ab = ac$ implies $b = c$. Similarly, it is *right cancellative* if $ba = ca$ implies $b = c$. If it is both left and right, it is called *cancellative*.

It is also known [2] that in an LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} , the *medial law*: $(ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd)$ holds for every $a, b, c, d \in G$. An LA-semigroup with a left identity is called an *LA-monoid*. In [9] an LA-monoid with a left inverse is called an *LA-group*. Because in an LA-group every left inverse is a right inverse, therefore, we can re-define an LA-group as follows: An LA-monoid G is called a *left almost group*, abbreviated as *LA-group*, if it contains inverses.

Suppose that (G, \cdot) is a commutative group. Then it is easy to see that $(G, *)$, where $a * b = ba^{-1}$, is an example of an LA-group.

Let \mathcal{G} be an LA-semigroup and $a \in G$. A mapping $L_a : G \rightarrow G$, defined by $L_a(x) = ax$, is called the *left translation by a*. Similarly a mapping $R_a : G \rightarrow G$, defined by $R_a(x) = xa$ is called the *right translation by a*. An LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} is called a *division LA-semigroup* if the mappings L_a and R_a are onto for all $a \in G$.

An LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} is called a μ -*LA-semigroup* if there are two mappings α, β of the set G onto G and an LA-monoid (G, \circ) such that $ab = \alpha(a) \circ \beta(b)$ for all $a, b \in G$. Note that if we take α, β to be identity maps and $(G, \circ) = (G, \cdot)$, then an LA-monoid (G, \cdot) is trivially a μ -LA-monoid.

Let \mathcal{G} be a division μ -LA-semigroup. Then $((G, \circ), \alpha, \psi, g)$ is said to be a *right linear form* of \mathcal{G} if (G, \circ) is an LA-group, α a mapping of G onto G , ψ an endomorphism of (G, \circ) , $g \in G$ and $ab = \alpha(a) \circ (g \circ \psi(b))$ for all $a, b \in G$. Similarly $((G, \circ), \psi, \alpha, g)$ is said to be a *left linear form* of \mathcal{G} if $ab = \psi(a) \circ (g \circ \alpha(b))$ for all $a, b \in G$. If $\varphi = \alpha$ is an endomorphism of \mathcal{G} , then $((G, \circ), \varphi, \psi, g)$ is called a *linear form* of \mathcal{G} .

2. Division LA-semigroups

Having set the terminology and given the basic definitions we are now in a position to prove the following results.

Proposition 2.1. *Every LA-group is a division μ -LA-group.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be an LA-group and L_a its left translation. Then

$$ab = (ea)b = (ba)e$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} L_a((xe)a^{-1}) &= a((xe)a^{-1}) = (((xe)a^{-1})a)e = ((aa^{-1})(xe))e \\ &= (e(xe))e = (xe)e = (ee)x = ex = x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every $x \in G$ there exists $(xe)a^{-1} \in G$ such that $L_x((xe)a^{-1}) = x$. Hence L_a is onto. Also R_a is onto because $R_a(xa^{-1}) = (xa^{-1})a = (aa^{-1})x = ex = x$ for every $x \in G$. Hence \mathcal{G} is a division LA-group. Thus, the observation that every LA-monoid is trivially a μ -LA-monoid, and Theorem 9 in [3], imply that \mathcal{G} is in fact a division μ -LA-group. \square

Let $C(G, \circ)$ denote the centre of LA-semigroup (G, \circ) .

Theorem 2.2. *If \mathcal{G} is an LA-semigroup, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{G} is a division μ -LA-semigroup,
- (ii) \mathcal{G} has a linear form $((G, \circ), \varphi, \psi, g)$ such that $\varphi\psi(a) \circ g = g \circ \psi\varphi(a)$ for every $a \in G$. In this case $C(G, \circ) = G$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since \mathcal{G} is a division μ -LA-semigroup satisfying the medial law, by Theorem 15 in [3], $((G, \circ), \varphi, \psi, g)$ is the linear form of \mathcal{G} such that $\varphi\psi(a) \circ h = h \circ \psi\varphi(a)$ for all $a \in G$, where $h = \psi\varphi(x) \circ g$ for some $x \in G$. But by Theorem 15 in [3], we can assume that x is the left identity of (G, \circ) . Thus $h = x \circ g = g$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Since \mathcal{G} has a linear form $((G, \circ), \varphi, \psi, g)$, therefore by the definition, \mathcal{G} is a division μ -LA-semigroup and so $ab = \varphi(a) \circ (g \circ \psi(b))$ for all $a, b \in G$, where (G, \circ) is an LA-group. If e is the left identity in (G, \circ) , then this last equation can be written as $\varphi(a) \circ (e \circ \psi(b)) = \varphi(a) \circ \psi(b)$, which implies that \mathcal{G} is a division μ -LA-semigroup.

Let $x \in C(G, \circ)$. We wish to show that $x \in G$. Let $a, b, c \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (ax)(bc) &= (\varphi(a) \circ (g \circ \psi(x))) (\varphi(b) \circ (g \circ \psi(c))) \\ &= \varphi(\varphi(a) \circ (g \circ \psi(x))) \circ (g \circ \psi(\varphi(b) \circ (g \circ \psi(c)))) \\ &= \varphi^2(a) \circ (\varphi(g) \circ \varphi\psi(x)) \circ (g \circ (\psi\varphi(b) \circ (\psi(g) \circ \psi^2(c)))). \end{aligned}$$

Since (G, \circ) is an LA-group, we can apply the medial and the left invertive laws (which hold in (G, \circ)) to the above identity. Hence

$$(ax)(bc) = (\varphi^2(a) \circ g) \circ ((\psi\varphi(x) \circ (\psi(g) \circ \psi^2(c))) \circ (\varphi(g) \circ \varphi\psi(b))).$$

Since $(\varphi\psi(a) \circ g) \circ (g \circ \psi\varphi(b)) = (\psi\varphi(b) \circ g) \circ (g \circ \psi\varphi(a))$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (ax)(bc) &= (\varphi^2(a) \circ g) \circ ((\psi\varphi(b) \circ \psi(g)) \circ ((\psi(g) \circ \psi^2(c)) \circ \varphi\psi(x))) \\ &= (\varphi^2(a) \circ g) \circ ((\varphi(g) \circ \varphi\psi(b)) \circ ((\psi\varphi(x) \circ (\psi(g) \circ \psi^2(c))))). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the medial law again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (ax)(bc) &= (\varphi^2(a) \circ (\varphi(g) \circ \varphi\psi(b))) \circ (g \circ (\psi\varphi(x) \circ (\psi(g) \circ \psi^2(c)))) \\ &= \varphi(\varphi(a) \circ (g \circ \psi(b))) \circ (g \circ \psi(\varphi(x) \circ (g \circ \psi(c)))) \\ &= (\varphi(a) \circ (g \circ \psi(b))) (\varphi(x) \circ (g \circ \psi(c))) = (ab)(xc). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \in G$, and so $C(G, \circ) \subseteq G$.

Conversely, let $y \in G$. Then

$$(\varphi\psi(a) \circ g) \circ \psi\varphi(y) = (\psi\varphi(y) \circ g) \circ \varphi\psi(a).$$

Since $\varphi\psi(a) \circ g = g \circ \psi\varphi(a)$, therefore the above identity gives

$$(g \circ \psi\varphi(a)) \circ \psi\varphi(y) = (g \circ \varphi\psi(y)) \circ \varphi\psi(a),$$

i.e.

$$(\psi\varphi(y) \circ \psi\varphi(a)) \circ g = (\varphi\psi(a) \circ \varphi\psi(y)) \circ g.$$

Since (G, \circ) is cancellative, $\psi\varphi(y) \circ \psi\varphi(a) = \varphi\psi(a) \circ \varphi\psi(y)$. But $\psi\varphi = \varphi\psi$, by Theorem 16 in [3]. So $\psi\varphi(y) \circ \psi\varphi(a) = \psi\varphi(a) \circ \psi\varphi(y)$. Thus $\psi\varphi(y) \in C(G, \circ)$. This together with the fact that $\psi\varphi : G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism, imply $y \in G$. Hence $G \subseteq C(G, \circ)$, and in consequence $G = C(G, \circ)$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *A division μ -LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} is commutative if it has a linear form $((G, +), \varphi, \psi, g)$ such that $(G, +)$ is a commutative group and $\psi\varphi = \varphi\psi$.*

Proof. If a division μ -LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} has a linear form as above, then $\varphi\psi(a) + g = \psi\varphi(a) + g = g + \psi\varphi(a)$. Therefore $G = C(G, \circ)$ by Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.4. *For any division μ -LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} there are mappings α, β of G onto G such that $\alpha(a)\beta(b) = \alpha(b)\beta(a)$ for every $a, b \in G$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{G} is a division μ -LA-semigroup, therefore $\alpha = L_c$ and $\beta = R_c$ are onto mappings (for all $c \in G$), and $\alpha(a)\beta(b) = L_c(a)R_c(b) = (ca)(bc) = (bc)(ca) = (cb)(ac) = L_c(b)R_c(a) = \alpha(b)\beta(a)$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *A division μ -LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} is commutative if and only if the mapping $a \mapsto aa$ is an endomorphism of \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. If $a \mapsto aa$ is an endomorphism of \mathcal{G} . Then $(ab)(ab) = (aa)(bb)$ for every $a, b \in G$, because \mathcal{G} is medial, and so $G = C(G, \circ)$ by Theorem 2.2.

Conversely, if \mathcal{G} is commutative, then $(ab)(ab) = (aa)(bb)$ implies that the mapping $a \mapsto aa$ is an endomorphism of \mathcal{G} . \square

Proposition 2.6. *The mapping $a \mapsto aa$ is an endomorphism of \mathcal{G} if \mathcal{G} is an LA-semigroup.*

Proof. The proof is a trivial consequence of the medial law. \square

Note here that the converse is not true because there are medial groupoids, which are not LA-semigroups.

An LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} is called *idempotent* if $aa = a$ for all $a \in G$. An LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} in which $aa = bb$ for all $a, b \in G$ is called *unipotent*.

Proposition 2.7. *Let \mathcal{G} be a left cancellative LA-semigroup. Then:*

- (i) α and ψ are permutations of G , if $((G, \circ), \alpha, \psi, g)$ is a right linear form of \mathcal{G} ,
- (ii) φ and β are permutations of G , if $((G, \circ), \varphi, \beta, g)$ is a left linear form of \mathcal{G} .

Proof. (i) Since $((G, \circ), \alpha, \psi, g)$ is a right linear form of a left cancellative LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} , therefore α is a mapping from G onto G and ψ is an endomorphism of \mathcal{G} . We prove that α and ψ are one-to-one.

Let $\alpha(a) = (aj) \circ g^{-1} = R_j(a) \circ g^{-1}$. If $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$, then $R_j(a) \circ g^{-1} = R_j(b) \circ g^{-1}$. Since (G, \circ) is cancellative, therefore $R_j(a) = R_j(b)$, which by Theorem 2.6 from [5], implies $a = b$. Hence α is one-to-one.

Let $\psi(a) = L_y(a)$, where $y = \alpha^{-1}(g^{-1})$. Since $\alpha(a) = R_j(a) \circ g^{-1}$, therefore $\alpha(y) = R_j(y) \circ g^{-1}$. But $\alpha(y) = g^{-1}$ implies $g^{-1} = R_j(y) \circ g^{-1}$, i.e. $y = \alpha^{-1}(R_j(y) \circ g^{-1}) = \alpha^{-1}(g^{-1})$. Now $\psi(a) = L_y(a) = \alpha^{-1}(R_j(y) \circ g^{-1})a$. If $\psi(a) = \psi(b)$, then $\alpha^{-1}(y j \circ g^{-1})a = \alpha^{-1}(y j \circ g^{-1})b$. Since α is one-to-one, therefore $(y j \circ g^{-1})a = (y j \circ g^{-1})b$, which by Theorem 2.6 from [5] implies $a = b$. Thus ψ is one-to-one.

(ii) Analogously as (i). \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let \mathcal{G} be an LA-semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{G} is a division μ -LA-semigroup,
- (ii) \mathcal{G} has a linear form $((G, +), \sigma, \psi, g)$ such that $(G, +)$ is a commutative group and $\sigma(\psi(a) + g) = \sigma(g) + \psi\sigma(a)$.

Proof. Since a division LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} is medial, by Theorem 16 in [3], \mathcal{G} has a linear form $((G, +), \sigma, \psi, g)$ such that $(G, +)$ is a commutative group and $\sigma\psi = \psi\sigma$. Thus $\sigma(\psi(a) + g) = \sigma(g) + \sigma\psi(a) = \sigma(g) + \psi\sigma(a)$ because σ is an endomorphism.

Conversely, if an LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} has a linear form as in (ii), then $ab = \sigma(a) + g + \psi(b)$, which for $g = 0$ shows that \mathcal{G} is a division μ -LA-semigroup. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let an LA-semigroup \mathcal{G} has a linear form $((G, \circ), \varphi, \psi, g)$. Then \mathcal{G} is a commutative group, if φ, ψ are central automorphism of (G, \circ) and $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi$.*

Proof. If φ, ψ are central automorphisms of (G, \circ) such $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi$, then $\varphi(a), \psi(a) \in C(G, \circ)$ for every $a \in G$. Thus $\varphi\psi(a) \in C(G, \circ)$ and $\varphi\psi(a) \circ g = g \circ \psi\varphi(a)$ for every $g \in G$. Theorem 2.2 completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] **P. Holgate:** *Groupoids satisfying a simple invertive law*, Math. Stud. **61** (1992), 101 – 106.
- [2] **M. A. Kazim and M. Naseerudin:** *On almost semigroups*, Aligarh Bull. Math. **2** (1972), 1 – 7.
- [3] **T. Kepka:** *Regular mappings of groupoids*, Acta Univ. Carl. Math. et Phys. **12** (1971), 25 – 37.
- [4] **T. Kepka:** *Quasigroups which satisfy certain generalized forms of the Abelian identity*, Čas. Pro Pest. Math. **100** (1975), 46 – 60.
- [5] **Q. Mushtaq and S. M. Yusuf:** *On LA-semigroups*, Aligarh Bull. Math. **8** (1978), 65 – 70.
- [6] **Q. Mushtaq and S. M. Yusuf:** *On locally associative LA-semigroups*, J. Nat. Sci. Math. **19** (1979), 57 – 62.
- [7] **Q. Mushtaq:** *Abelian groups defined by LA-semigroups*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **18** (1983), 427 – 428.
- [8] **Q. Mushtaq and Q. Iqbal:** *Decomposition of a locally associative LA-semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **41** (1990), 154 – 164.
- [9] **Q. Mushtaq and M. S. Kamran:** *On left almost groups*, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. **33** (1996), 53 – 55.
- [10] **P. V. Protić and N. Stevanović:** *On Abel-Grassmann's groupoids*, Proc. Math. Conf. Pristina 1994, 31 – 38.

Received January 8, 2002, Revised March 4, 2003

Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

e-mail: qmushtaq@apollo.net.pk