

Skew endomorphisms on n -ary groups

Nikolay A. Shchuchkin

Abstract

Let $\bar{x}^{(k)}$ denote this element of an n -ary group G which is skew to $\bar{x}^{(k-1)}$, where $k \geq 1$ and $\bar{x}^{(0)} = x$. We find the identities defining the variety of all n -ary groups for which the operation ${}^{-k} : x \mapsto \bar{x}^{(k)}$ is an endomorphism.

1. Introduction

According to the general convention used in the theory of n -ary systems the sequence of elements x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j will be denoted by x_i^j . In the case $j < i$ it will be the empty symbol. If $x_{i+1} = x_{i+2} = \dots = x_{i+t} = x$, then instead of x_{i+1}^{i+t} we shall write $\overset{(t)}{x}$. In this convention $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1^n)$ and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_t, x_{i+t+1}, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1^i, \overset{(t)}{x}, x_{i+t+1}^n).$$

If $m = k(n - 1) + 1$, then the m -ary operation g of the form

$$g(x_1^{k(n-1)+1}) := \underbrace{f(f(\dots, f(f(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1}), \dots), x_{(k-1)(n-1)+2}^{k(n-1)+1})}_k$$

will be denoted by $f_{(k)}$. In certain situations, when the arity of g does not play a crucial role or when it will differ depending on additional assumptions, we will write $f_{(\cdot)}$ to mean $f_{(k)}$ for some $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

For $n \geq 3$, there are several equivalent definitions of an n -ary group (see for example, [2], [6], [8], [10]). The definition given in [1] generalizes the definition of a binary group as follows:

The algebra $\langle G, f \rangle$ with the n -ary operation f is called an n -ary group if for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the following two conditions are satisfied:

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N15
 Keywords: n -ary group, skew element, endomorphism.

1. the operation f satisfies the general associative law:

$$f(f(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1}) = f(x_1^i, f(x_{i+1}^{i+n}), x_{i+n+1}^{2n-1}), \quad (1)$$

2. the equation $f(a_1^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}^n) = b$ has a unique solution $x \in G$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b \in G^n$.

An algebra $\langle G, f \rangle$ satisfying (1) for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is called an n -ary semigroup.

In an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ the solution z of the equation

$$f(\overset{(n-1)}{x}, z) = x,$$

is denoted by \bar{x} and is called the *skew element* of x .

One can prove (see for example [1]) that

$$f(\overset{(i-1)}{x}, \bar{x}, \overset{(n-i)}{x}) = x, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$f(y \overset{(n-j-1)}{x}, \bar{x}, \overset{(j-1)}{x}) = y, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \quad (2)$$

$$f(\overset{(i-1)}{x}, \bar{x}, \overset{(n-i-1)}{x}, y) = y, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y \in G$.

Identities (1), (2) and (3) can be used as identities defining the variety of all n -ary groups (see [2], [6], [8], [10]).

For example, in [6] the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 1.1. *An n -ary ($n > 2$) semigroup $\langle G, f \rangle$ with the unary operation $\bar{\cdot} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an n -ary group if and only if the identities (2) and (3) hold in G for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.*

Following Post [11], we say that two sequences a_1^{n-1} and $b_1^{k(n-1)}$ of elements of G are *equivalent* in an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ if the equation

$$f(x, a_1^{n-1}) = f_{(k)}(x, b_1^{k(n-1)}) \quad (4)$$

is valid for some $x \in G$.

Lemma 1.2. *If in an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ the sequences a_1^{n-1} and $b_1^{k(n-1)}$ are equivalent, then the equation (4) is valid for all $x \in G$.*

Proof. Indeed, if this equality holds for some $x, a_1^{n-1}, b_1^{k(n-1)} \in G$, then

$$f(y, \overset{(n-3)}{x}, \bar{x}, f(x, a_1^{n-1})) = f(y, \overset{(n-3)}{x}, \bar{x}, f_{(k)}(x, b_1^{k(n-1)}))$$

is valid for all $y \in G$. Whence, according to the associativity of f , we obtain

$$f(f(y, \overset{(n-3)}{x}, \bar{x}, x), a_1^{n-1}) = f_{(k)}(f(y, \overset{(n-3)}{x}, \bar{x}, x), b_1^{k(n-1)}).$$

This, by (2), implies

$$f(y, a_1^{n-1}) = f_{(k)}(y, b_1^{k(n-1)}),$$

which completes the proof. \square

2. Skew endomorphisms

W. A. Dudek posed in ([5]) several problems on the operation $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ on n -ary groups. He asks (see also [4]) when this operation is an endomorphism, i.e., in which n -ary groups the identity

$$\overline{f(x_1^n)} = f(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \tag{5}$$

is satisfied.

The partial answer was given in [5]. Other answer is given in [13]. Namely, in [13] the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 2.1. *The operation $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an endomorphism of an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ if and only if*

$$f(f(x, \overset{(n-1)}{u}, y), \dots, f(x, \overset{(n-1)}{u}, y), \overset{(2)}{u}) = f(\overset{(n-1)}{y}, f(u, f(x, \overset{(n)}{u})), \dots, f(x, \overset{(n)}{u}), x, u), u)$$

and

$$f(\overset{n}{u}, f(\overset{n-1}{x}, u, u)) = f(f(\overset{n-1}{x}, u, u), \overset{n}{u})$$

hold for all $x, y, u \in G$.

It is clear that $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an endomorphism in all commutative n -ary groups. Obviously, it is an endomorphism in all idempotent (also non-commutative) n -ary groups. Głazek and Gleichgewicht proved in [9] that

it is an endomorphism in all *medial* n -ary groups, i.e., in n -ary groups satisfying the identity

$$f(\{f(x_i^{in})\}_{i=1}^{i=n}) = f(\{f(x_i^{ni})\}_{i=1}^{i=n}). \quad (6)$$

One can prove (see [2]) that an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ is medial if there exists an element $a \in G$ such that

$$f(x, \overset{(n-2)}{a}, y) = f(y, \overset{(n-2)}{a}, x) \quad (7)$$

holds for all $x, y \in G$.

Using (7) and the associativity of the operation f it is not difficult to verify that the following theorem is true.

Theorem 2.2. *Each medial n -ary group satisfies the identity*

$$f_{(n-1)}(x_1, \overset{(n-2)}{x_2}, \overset{(n-2)}{x_3}, \dots, \overset{(n-2)}{x_{n+1}}, x_{n+2}) = f(x_1, \underbrace{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2), \dots, f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, x_{n+2}). \quad (8)$$

The identity (8) describes the class of n -ary groups for which $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an endomorphism.

Theorem 2.3. *The operation $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an endomorphism of an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ if and only if $\langle G, f \rangle$ satisfies (8).*

Proof. Let $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ be an endomorphism of an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$, i.e., let (5) be satisfied. Then, according to (2) and (3), for any $x_2^{n+2} \in G$ we have

$$f_{(n-1)}(f(\bar{x}_{n+1}, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_2), \overset{(n-2)}{x_2}, \overset{(n-2)}{x_3}, \dots, \overset{(n-2)}{x_{n+1}}, x_{n+2}) = x_{n+2}$$

and

$$f(\overline{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}, \underbrace{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2), \dots, f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, x_{n+2}) = x_{n+2}$$

for all elements $x_2^{n+1} \in G$, which, by (5), means that the sequences $\overset{(n-2)}{x_2}, \overset{(n-2)}{x_3}, \dots, \overset{(n-2)}{x_{n+1}}, x_{n+2}$ and $\underbrace{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2), \dots, f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, x_{n+2}$

are equivalent. So, in view of Lemma 1.1, the equality (8) is valid for all $x_1^{n+1} \in G$.

Conversely, let (8) be satisfied in an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$. Then putting $x_1 = f(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n)$, $x_{n+2} = \overline{f(y_1^n)}$ and $x_k = y_{n+2-k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n+1$ we see that the left hand side of (8) has the form

$$f_{(n-1)}(f(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n), \overset{(n-2)}{y_n}, \overset{(n-2)}{y_{n-1}}, \dots, \overset{(n-2)}{y_1}, \overline{f(y_1^n)}) = \overline{f(y_1^n)}.$$

On the right side of (8) we obtain

$$f(f(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n), \underbrace{f(y_1^n), \dots, f(y_1^n)}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, \overline{f(y_1^n)}) = f(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n).$$

So, $\overline{f(y_1^n)} = f(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n)$ for all $y_1^n \in G$. This completes the proof. \square

This theorem proves that the converse of Theorem 2.2 is not true. Indeed, in any idempotent n -ary group the operation $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is the identity endomorphism but not any idempotent n -ary group is medial [11].

Let $\bar{x}^{(k)}$ be the skew element to $\bar{x}^{(k-1)}$, where $k \geq 1$ and $\bar{x}^{(0)} = x$, i.e., let $\bar{x}^{(1)} = \bar{x}$, $\bar{x}^{(2)} = \bar{\bar{x}}$, and so on. If $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an endomorphism of an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$, then obviously $\bar{}^{(k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ is an endomorphism too. In some cases it is an automorphism (see [4] and [5]). However, the converse is not true. For example, in all ternary groups $\bar{\bar{x}} = x$, i.e., the operation $\bar{}^{(2)} : x \rightarrow \bar{\bar{x}}$ is the identity endomorphism, but in a ternary group $\langle S_3, f \rangle$ defined on the symmetric group S_3 , where f is the composition on three permutations, we have

$$\overline{f((12), (13), (123))} \neq (132) = f(\overline{(12)}, \overline{(13)}, \overline{(132)}).$$

Hence $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is not an endomorphism of this group.

Since in ternary groups $\bar{\bar{x}} = x$ for all x , we have $\bar{x}^{(k)} = x$ if k is even, and $\bar{x}^{(k)} = \bar{x}$ if k is odd. Therefore, the operation $\bar{}^{(k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ is the identity endomorphism or coincides with the operation $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$. From the last theorem it follows that $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an endomorphism of a ternary group if and only if this group is medial. In this case $\bar{} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is an automorphism.

Other important properties of operations $\bar{}^{(k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ in n -ary groups satisfying some additional properties are described in [3] and [4].

Following Post [11] an n -ary power of an element x in an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ is defined as $x^{<0>} = x$ and $x^{<k+1>} = f(\overset{(n-1)}{x}, x^{<k>})$ for all $k > 0$.

In this convention $x^{<-k>}$ means $z \in G$ such that $f(x^{<k-1>}, \overset{(n-2)}{x}, z) = x^{<0>} = x$.

It is not difficult to verify that the following exponential laws hold

$$f(x^{<s_1>}, x^{<s_2>}, \dots, x^{<s_n>}) = x^{<s_1+s_2+\dots+s_n+1>},$$

$$(x^{<r>})^{<s>} = x^{<rs(n-1)+s+r>} = (x^{<s>})^{<r>}.$$

Using the above laws we can see that $\bar{x} = x^{<-1>}$ and, consequently

$$\bar{x}^{(2)} = (x^{<-1>})^{<-1>} = x^{<n-3>},$$

$$\bar{x}^{(3)} = ((x^{<-1>})^{<-1>})^{<-1>},$$

and so on. Generally: $\bar{x}^{(k)} = (\bar{x}^{(k-1)})^{<-1>}$ for all $k \geq 1$. This implies (see [3] or [4]) that $\bar{x}^{(k)} = x^{<S_k>}$ for

$$S_k = - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (2-n)^i = \frac{(2-n)^k - 1}{n-1}.$$

For even k we have $S_k = \frac{(n-2)^k - 1}{n-1}$. Hence

$$\bar{x}^{(k)} = f_{(\cdot)}(\overset{((n-2)^k)}{x}) \tag{9}$$

for even k . In particular $\bar{\bar{x}} = x^{<n-3>} = f_{(n-3)}(\overset{((n-2)^2)}{x})$. Thus the operation $^{- (k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ coincides with the operation $^{<S_k>} : x \rightarrow x^{<S_k>}$. So, the operation $^{- (k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ is an endomorphism if and only if

$$f(x_1^n)^{<S_k>} = f(x_1^{<S_k>}, x_2^{<S_k>}, \dots, x_n^{<S_k>})$$

is valid for all $x_1^n \in G$. This implies

Theorem 2.4. *For even k the operation $^{- (k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ is an endomorphism of an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ if and only if the identity*

$$f_{(\cdot)}(\underbrace{f(x_1^n), \dots, f(x_1^n)}_{(n-2)^k}) = f_{(\cdot)}(\overset{((n-2)^k)}{x_1}, \overset{((n-2)^k)}{x_2}, \dots, \overset{((n-2)^k)}{x_n})$$

is satisfied.

Theorem 2.5. For odd k the operation $\bar{\cdot}^{(k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ is an endomorphism of an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$ if and only if the identity

$$f_{(\cdot)}(x_1, \overbrace{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}}^{((n-2)^k)}) = f_{(\cdot)}(x_1, \underbrace{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2), \dots, f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}_{(n-2)^k}, x_{n+2}), \quad (10)$$

is satisfied.

Proof. Let k be odd and let $\bar{\cdot}^{(k)} : x \rightarrow \bar{x}^{(k)}$ be an endomorphism of an n -ary group $\langle G, f \rangle$. From (2), (3) we get

$$f_{(\cdot)}(y, \overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{((n-2)^k)}, \overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{((n-2)^{k-1})}) = f_{(\cdot)}(y, \overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{(n-2)}, \dots, \overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{(n-2)}, \bar{x}) = y, \quad (11)$$

$$f_{(\cdot)}(\overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{((n-2)^{k-1})}, \overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{((n-2)^k)}, y) = f_{(\cdot)}(\bar{x}, \overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{(n-2)}, \dots, \bar{x}, \overbrace{x, \bar{x}}^{(n-2)}, y) = y. \quad (12)$$

Consequently

$$f_{(\cdot)}(f_{(\cdot)}(\overbrace{\bar{x}_{n+1}, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_2}^{((n-2)^{k-1})}), \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}}^{((n-2)^k)}) = x_{n+2}$$

and

$$f_{(\cdot)}(\overbrace{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2), \dots, f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}^{(n-2)^{k-1}}, \underbrace{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2), \dots, f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}_{(n-2)^k}, x_{n+2}) = x_{n+2}.$$

Since $k - 1$ is even, by (9) we have $\bar{x}^{(k)} = \overline{\bar{x}}^{(k-1)} = f_{(\cdot)}(\overbrace{\bar{x}}^{((n-2)^{k-1})})$ for all $x \in G$. Thus

$$\overline{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}^{(k)} = f_{(\cdot)}(\underbrace{f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2), \dots, f(x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)}_{(n-2)^{k-1}})$$

and

$$f_{(\cdot)}(\overbrace{\bar{x}_{n+1}, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_2}^{((n-2)^{k-1})}) = f_{(\cdot)}(\overbrace{\bar{x}_{n+1}^{(k)}, \bar{x}_n^{(k)}, \dots, \bar{x}_2^{(k)}}^{(n-2)^{k-1}}),$$

whence

$$f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{x_{n+1}}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}, \overline{x_n}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}, \dots, \overline{x_2}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}) \right) = f_{(\cdot)} \left(\underbrace{f(\overline{x_{n+1}}, \overline{x_n}, \dots, \overline{x_2}), \dots, f(\overline{x_{n+1}}, \overline{x_n}, \dots, \overline{x_2})}_{(n-2)^{k-1}} \right).$$

This, together with the above two identities containing x_{n+2} , means that the sequences:

$$\overline{x_2}^{((n-2)^k}, \overline{x_3}^{((n-2)^k}, \dots, \overline{x_{n+1}}^{((n-2)^k}, \overline{x_{n+2}}^{((n-2)^k}$$

and

$$\underbrace{f(\overline{x_{n+1}}, \overline{x_n}, \dots, \overline{x_2}), \dots, f(\overline{x_{n+1}}, \overline{x_n}, \dots, \overline{x_2})}_{(n-2)^k}, \overline{x_{n+2}}$$

are equivalent. Hence, by Lemma 1.2, the equality (10) is valid for all $x_1^{n+2} \in G$.

On the other hand, if (10) is valid for all $x_1^{n+2} \in G$, then for

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{y_1}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}, \overline{y_3}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}, \dots, \overline{y_n}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}) \right), \\ x_k &= y_{n+2-k}, \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots, n+1, \\ x_{n+2} &= f_{(\cdot)} \left(\underbrace{f(\overline{y_1^n}), f(\overline{y_1^n}), \dots, f(\overline{y_1^n})}_{(n-2)^{k-1}} \right) = f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{f(y_1^n)}^{((n-2)^{k-1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

it has the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(\cdot)} \left(f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{y_1}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}, \dots, \overline{y_n}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}) \right), \overline{y_n}^{((n-2)^k}, \dots, \overline{y_2}^{((n-2)^k}, \overline{y_1}^{((n-2)^k}, f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{f(y_1^n)}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}) \right) \right) = \\ f_{(\cdot)} \left(f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{y_1}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}, \dots, \overline{y_n}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}) \right), \overline{f(y_1^n)}^{((n-2)^k}, f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{f(y_1^n)}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Whence, applying (11) and (12), we obtain

$$f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{f(y_1^n)}^{((n-2)^{k-1}} \right) = f_{(\cdot)} \left(\overline{y_1}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}, \dots, \overline{y_n}^{((n-2)^{k-1}}) \right).$$

But, by (9), for all $y \in G$ we have $f_{(\cdot)}\left(\overline{y}^{((n-2)^{k-1})}\right) = \overline{y}^{(k-1)} = \overline{y}^{(k)}$. Thus, the last identity implies

$$\overline{f(y_1^n)}^{(k)} = f(\overline{y_1}^{(k)}, \overline{y_2}^{(k)}, \dots, \overline{y_n}^{(k)}).$$

Therefore, $\overline{\cdot}^{(k)} : x \rightarrow \overline{x}^{(k)}$ is an endomorphism. \square

Note that for any finite n -ary group there exists a natural number m such that $\overline{x}^{(m)} = x$ holds for all $x \in G$. The same holds also in some infinite n -ary groups (see for example [3]). In these groups endomorphisms $\overline{\cdot}^{(k)} : x \rightarrow \overline{x}^{(k)}$ are automorphisms.

References

- [1] **W. Dörnte:** *Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff*, Math. Z. **29** (1928), 1 – 19.
- [2] **W. A. Dudek:** *Remarks on n -groups*, Demonstratio Math. **13** (1980), 165 – 181.
- [3] **W. A. Dudek:** *Autodistributive n -groups*, Commentationes Math. Annales Soc. Math. Polonae, Prace Matematyczne **23** (1983), 1 – 11.
- [4] **W. A. Dudek:** *Medial n -groups and skew elements*, Proceedings of the V Universal Algebra Symposium "Universal and Applied Algebra", Turawa 1988, World Scientific, Singapore 1989, 55 – 80.
- [5] **W. A. Dudek:** *On some old and new problems in n -ary groups*, Quasigroups and Related Systems **8** (2001), 15 – 36.
- [6] **W. A. Dudek, K. Glazek and B. Gleichgewicht:** *A note on the axioms of n -groups*, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **29** "Universal Algebra", Esztergom (Hungary) 1977, 195 – 202, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [7] **A. M. Gal'mak:** *Remarks on polyadic groups*, Quasigroups and Related Systems **7** (2000), 67 – 71.
- [8] **A. M. Gal'mak:** *n -ary Groups, I*, (Russian), Gomel State University, 2003.
- [9] **K. Glazek and B. Gleichgewicht:** *Abelian n -groups*, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **29** "Universal Algebra", Esztergom (Hungary) 1977, 321 – 329, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [10] **A. G. Kurosh:** *General algebra. Lectures of 1969 – 1970 educational year*, (Russian), Moscow, Nauka, 1974.
- [11] **E. L. Post:** *Polyadic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1940), 208 – 350.

- [12] **N. A. Shchuchkin:** *Interconnection of n -groups and groups*, Chebyshevski Sbornik **4** (2003), 125 – 141.
- [13] **F. M. Sokhatsky:** *On Dudek's problems on the skew operation in polyadic groups*, East Asian Math. J. **19** (2003), 63 – 71.

Received 11 November 2004

Revised November 30, 2006

Volgograd State Pedagogical University
Lenina prosp., 27
400131 Volgograd
Russia
e-mail: shchuchkin@fizmat.vspu.ru