

# The categories of actions of a dcpo-monoid on directed complete posets

*Mojgan Mahmoudi and Halimeh Moghbeli-Damaneh*

**Abstract.** In this paper, some categorical properties of the category  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  of all  $S$ -cpo's; cpo's equipped with a compatible right action of a cpo-monoid  $S$ , with strict continuous action-preserving maps between them is considered. We also define and consider similarly, the category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  of all  $S$ -dcpo's, and continuous action-preserving maps between them. In particular, we characterize products and coproducts in these categories. Also, epimorphisms and monomorphisms in  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  are studied. Further, we show that  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  is not cartesian closed but  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is cartesian closed.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}$  of directed complete partial ordered sets plays an important role in Theoretical Computer Science, and specially in Domain Theory (see [1]). This category is complete and cocomplete. The completeness of  $\mathbf{Dcpo}$  has been proved (in a constructive way) by Achim Jung ([1]) and it is stated there that to describe colimits is quite difficult. In [5], Fiech characterizes and describes colimits in  $\mathbf{Dcpo}$ , but his construction is rather complicated. The cartesian closeness of  $\mathbf{Dcpo}$  has also been proved by Achim Jung (see [7]). It is also shown that the category  $\mathbf{Cpo}$  of directed complete partially ordered sets with bottom elements and strict continuous maps between them is monoidal closed, complete and cocomplete (see [1, 7]).

In this paper, we study some categorical properties of the categories  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  (and  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$ ) of the actions of a dcpo(cpo)-monoid  $S$  on dcpo's (cpo's). In particular, we show that the category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is complete and cocomplete, and describe products and coproducts in these categories. Also, epimorphisms and monomorphisms in these categories are considered. Further, we show that  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  is not cartesian closed but  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is so.

Let us now give some preliminaries needed in the sequel.

Let  $\mathbf{Pos}$  denote the category of all partially ordered sets (posets) with order preserving (monotone) maps between them. A nonempty subset  $D$  of a partially ordered set is called *directed*, denoted by  $D \subseteq^d P$ , if for every  $a, b \in D$  there exists

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F05, 06F30, 20M30, 18A30

Keywords: Directed complete partially ordered set, product, coproduct, cartesian closed.

$c \in D$  such that  $a, b \leq c$ ; and  $P$  is called *directed complete*, or briefly a *dcpo*, if for every  $D \subseteq^d P$ , the directed join  $\bigvee^d D$  exists in  $P$ . A dcpo which has a bottom element  $\perp$  is said to be a *cpo*.

A *dcpo map* or a *continuous map*  $f: P \rightarrow Q$  between dcpo's is a map with the property that for every  $D \subseteq^d P$ ,  $f(D)$  is a directed subset of  $Q$  and  $f(\bigvee^d D) = \bigvee^d f(D)$ . A dcpo map  $f: P \rightarrow Q$  between cpo's is called *strict* if  $f(\perp) = \perp$ . Thus we have the categories **Dcpo** (and **Cpo**) of all dcpo's (cpo's) with (strict) continuous maps between them.

The following lemmas are frequently used in this paper.

**Lemma 1.1.** [3, 7] *Let  $\{A_i: i \in I\}$  be a family of dcpo's. Then the directed join of a directed subset  $D \subseteq^d \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is calculated as  $\bigvee^d D = (\bigvee^d D_i)_{i \in I}$  where*

$$D_i = \{a \in A_i: \exists d = (d_k)_{k \in I} \in D, a = d_i\}$$

for all  $i \in I$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** [7] *Let  $P, Q$ , and  $R$  be dcpo's, and  $f: P \times Q \rightarrow R$  be a function of two variables. Then  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $f$  is continuous in each variable; which means that for all  $a \in P, b \in Q, f_a: Q \rightarrow R (b \mapsto f(a, b))$  and  $f_b: P \rightarrow R (a \mapsto f(a, b))$  are continuous.*

**Remark 1.3.** The categories **Dcpo** and **Cpo** are both complete and cocomplete. In fact,

(i) The product of a family of dcpo's (cpo's) is their cartesian product, with componentwise order and ordinary projection maps. In particular, the terminal object of **Dcpo** (and **Cpo**) is the singleton poset  $\{\theta\}$ .

The equalizer of a pair  $f, g: P \rightarrow Q$  of (strict) continuous maps is given by  $E = \{x \in P: f(x) = g(x)\}$  with the order inherited from  $P$ .

Moreover, the pullback of (strict) continuous maps  $f: P \rightarrow R$  and  $g: Q \rightarrow R$  is the sub-dcpo  $P = \{(a, b): f(a) = g(b)\}$  of the product  $P \times Q$  together with the restriction of projection maps.

(ii) The coproduct of a family of dcpo's is their disjoint union, with the order arising from each factor. In particular, the initial object of **Dcpo** is the empty poset.

The coproduct of a family of cpo's is their *coalesced sum*. Recall that the *coalesced sum* of the family  $\{A_i: i \in I\}$  of cpo's is defined to be

$$\biguplus_{i \in I} A_i = \perp \oplus \bigcup_{i \in I} (A_i \setminus \{\perp_{A_i}\}).$$

In particular, the initial object of **Cpo** is the singleton poset  $\{\theta\}$ .

Recall that a *po-monoid* is a monoid with a partial order  $\leq$  which is compatible with the monoid operation: for  $s, t, s', t' \in S, s \leq t, s' \leq t'$  imply  $ss' \leq tt'$ .

Similarly, a *dcpo (cpo)-monoid* is a monoid which is also a dcpo (cpo) whose binary operation is a (strict) continuous map.

Now, we recall the preliminary notions of the action of a (po)monoid on a set (poset). For more information, see [2, 4, 8].

Let  $S$  be a monoid. A (*right*)  $S$ -act or  $S$ -set is a set  $A$  equipped with an action  $A \times S \rightarrow A$ ,  $(a, s) \rightsquigarrow as$ , such that  $a1 = a$  and  $a(st) = (as)t$ , for all  $a \in A$  and  $s, t \in S$ . Let **Act- $S$**  denote the category of all  $S$ -acts with action-preserving maps (maps  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $f(as) = f(a)s$ ).

Also, recall that an element  $a$  of an  $S$ -act  $A$  is said to be a *zero element* if  $as = a$  for all  $s \in S$ .

Let  $S$  be a po-monoid. A (*right*)  $S$ -poset is a poset  $A$  which is also an  $S$ -act whose action  $\lambda : A \times S \rightarrow A$  is order-preserving, where  $A \times S$  is considered as a poset with componentwise order. The category of all  $S$ -posets with action-preserving monotone maps between them is denoted by **Pos- $S$** .

**Remark 1.4.** Recall (see [2]) that:

(i) The product in the category of  $S$ -posets is the cartesian product with the componentwise action and order. In particular, the terminal  $S$ -poset is the singleton  $S$ -poset.

Also, recall that the equalizer of a pair  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  of  $S$ -poset maps is given by  $E = \{a \in A : f(a) = g(a)\}$  with action and order inherited from  $A$ .

The pullback of  $S$ -poset maps  $f : A \rightarrow C$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  is the sub- $S$ -poset  $P = \{(a, b) : f(a) = g(b)\}$  of  $A \times B$ .

(ii) The coproduct is the disjoint union with the usual action and order. In particular, the initial  $S$ -poset is the empty set.

Finally, we introduce the notion which we work on in this paper.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $S$  be a (cpo) dcpo-monoid. By a (*right*)  $S$ -dcpo ( $S$ -cpo) we mean a dcpo (cpo)  $A$  which is also an  $S$ -act whose action  $\lambda : A \times S \rightarrow A$  is (strict) continuous, where  $A \times S$  is considered as a dcpo with componentwise order.

By an  $S$ -dcpo map ( $S$ -cpo map) between  $S$ -dcpo's ( $S$ -cpo's), we mean a map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  which is both (strict) continuous and action-preserving.

We denote the categories of all  $S$ -dcpo's ( $S$ -cpo's) and  $S$ -dcpo ( $S$ -cpo) maps between them by **Dcpo- $S$  (Cpo- $S$ )**.

**Remark 1.6.** (1) In the definition of an  $S$ -cpo, we can omit the property that the action is strict. Notice that  $\perp_{A \times S} = (\perp_A, \perp_S)$ , and the action being strict means that  $\perp_A \perp_S = \perp_A$ . But, assuming that there is a continuous (monotone) action on a cpo  $A$ , the fact that  $\perp_S \leq 1$  implies  $\perp_A \perp_S \leq \perp_A 1 = \perp_A$ . Also, since  $\perp_A$  is the bottom element in  $A$ , we have  $\perp_A \leq \perp_A \perp_S$ . Thus,  $\perp_A \perp_S = \perp_A$  as required.

(2) Note that, by Lemma 1.2, the action  $\lambda : A \times S \rightarrow A$  is continuous if and only if each  $\lambda_a : S \rightarrow A$ ,  $s \mapsto as$ , and  $\lambda_s : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto as$ , is continuous.

(3) Notice that the above note is not true for strictness. For example, consider the pomonoid  $S = \{0 < 1\}$  with the binary operation max. It is clear that max is

strict continuous, so  $S$  is a cpo-monoid and hence an  $S$ -cpo. But the continuous map  $\lambda_1 : S \rightarrow S$ ,  $t \mapsto \max(t, 1)$  is not strict, because  $\max(0, 1) = 1 \neq 0 = \perp_S$ .

## 2. Limits and coproduts in Cpo- $S$ and Dcpo- $S$

In this section, we give the description of products, equalizers, terminal object and pullback in the categories **Dcpo- $S$**  and **Cpo- $S$** . We also, find coproducts in these two categories.

**Remark 2.1.** In both the categories **Dcpo- $S$**  and **Cpo- $S$** , the terminal object is the one element object.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The product of a family of  $S$ -dcpo's ( $S$ -cpo's) is their cartesian product with componentwise action and order.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $S$ -dcpo's ( $S$ -cpo's). Let  $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ . First we see that  $A$  with componentwise action and order is a  $S$ -dcpo ( $S$ -cpo). By Remark 1.4,  $A$  is an  $S$ -poset. Also, the action on  $A$  is continuous. Applying Lemma 1.2, it is enough to check the continuity of the action in each component. Let  $D \subseteq^d A$  and  $s \in S$ . We show that  $(\bigvee^d D)s = \bigvee_{x \in D} xs$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $\bigvee^d D = (\bigvee^d D_i)_{i \in I}$ , where  $D_i = \{a \in A_i : \exists (d_k)_{k \in I} \in D, d_i = a\}$  is a directed subset of  $A_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ . Then we have  $(\bigvee^d D)s = (\bigvee^d D_i)_{i \in I}s = ((\bigvee^d D_i)s)_{i \in I} = (\bigvee^d D_i s)_{i \in I}$ , where the latter equality is because the action on each  $A_i$  is continuous. Now, we see that  $(\bigvee^d D_i s)_{i \in I} = \bigvee_{x \in D} xs$ . First, notice that  $(\bigvee^d D_i s)_{i \in I}$  is an upper bound of the set  $\{xs : x \in D\}$ , since for  $x = (d_i)_{i \in I} \in D$ , we have  $d_i \in D_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ , and so  $xs = (d_i s)_{i \in I} \leq ((\bigvee^d D_i)s)_{i \in I} = (\bigvee^d D_i s)_{i \in I}$ . Secondly, if  $c = (c_i)_{i \in I}$  is any upper bound of the set  $\{xs : x \in D\}$ , then for  $i \in I$  and  $a \in D_i$ , taking  $x = (d_i)_{i \in I}$  with  $d_i = a$ , we have  $as = d_i s \leq c_i$ . Thus  $(\bigvee^d D_i s)_{i \in I} \leq c$ , as required. Similarly, the action on  $A$  is continuous in the second component; that is for  $T \subseteq^d S$  and  $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in A$ ,  $a(\bigvee^d T) = \bigvee_{t \in T} at$ . Consequently,  $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  with the componentwise order and action is an  $S$ -dcpo ( $S$ -cpo). Also, the projection maps  $p_i : A \rightarrow A_i$  are  $S$ -dcpo ( $S$ -cpo) maps, since by Remark 1.3 they are (strict) continuous, also they are action-preserving (see [8]). To see the universal property of products, notice that for every  $S$ -dcpo ( $S$ -cpo)  $B$  with  $S$ -dcpo ( $S$ -cpo) maps  $f_i : B \rightarrow A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , the unique  $S$ -poset map  $f : B \rightarrow A$  given by  $f(b) = (f_i(b))_{i \in I}$ ,  $b \in B$  which exists by the universal property of products in **Pos- $S$**  (see Remark 1.4), and satisfies  $p_i \circ f = f_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ , is a (strict) continuous map. This is because,  $f(\perp_B) = (f_i(\perp_B))_{i \in I} = (\perp_{A_i})_{i \in I} = \perp_A$ . Also, it is straightforward to see that for  $D \subseteq^d B$ ,  $f(\bigvee^d D) = \bigvee^d f(D)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** (i) It is clear that the initial object in the category **Dcpo- $S$**  is the empty set.

(ii) The category **Cpo- $S$**  has initial object if the identity of the cpo-monoid  $S$  is its bottom element. In fact  $S$  is the initial object. Since, for every  $S$ -cpo  $A$  the

map  $\lambda_{\perp} : S \rightarrow A$ , defined by  $\lambda_{\perp}(s) = \perp_A s$  is the unique S-cpo map from  $S$  to  $A$ . To show the uniqueness, let  $\alpha : S \rightarrow A$  be an S-cpo map, then  $\alpha(s) = \alpha(1s) = \alpha(1)s = \perp_A s = \lambda_{\perp}(s)$ , for all  $s \in S$ . Thus,  $\alpha = \lambda$ .

Now, we consider coproducts.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The coproduct of a family of S-dcpo's is their disjoint union.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of S-dcpo's. Let  $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  be the disjoint union of  $A_i, i \in I$ . By Remark 1.4,  $A$  with the order and the action inherited from  $A_i, i \in I$ ; that is

$$x \leq y \text{ in } A \text{ if and only if } x \leq y \text{ in } A_i, \text{ for some } i \in I$$

and  $a.s = as$  for  $a \in A_i, s \in S$ , is an S-poset. Applying Lemma 1.2, we see that this the action is also continuous. Therefore,  $A$  is an S-dcpo. Moreover, the injection maps  $u_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ , defined by  $u_i = id_A|_{A_i}, i \in I$  are S-poset maps, by Remark 1.4, and they are continuous, by Remark 1.3. Finally, since  $A$  satisfies the universal property of coproducts in **Pos-S**, for every S-dcpo  $B$  and S-dcpo maps  $f_i : A_i \rightarrow B, i \in I$ , the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  given by  $f(a) = f_i(a)$  for  $a \in A_i$ , is the unique S-poset map with  $f \circ u_i = f_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ . This map is also continuous, because if  $D$  is a directed subset of  $A$  then by the definition of the order on  $A, D \subseteq^d A_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , and  $\bigvee_A^d D = \bigvee_{A_i}^d D$ . Thus  $f(\bigvee^d D) = f_i(\bigvee^d D) = \bigvee^d f_i(D) = \bigvee^d f(D)$ .  $\square$

To describe the coproduct in **Cpo-S**, using the coalesced sum of cpo's, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *The coalesced sum of a family of S-cpo's in which the bottom element is a zero element is an S-cpo.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of S-cpo's. By Remark 1.3, the coalesced sum  $A = \biguplus_{i \in I} A_i$  is a cpo. Define the action on  $A$  as:

$$a \cdot s = \begin{cases} as & \text{if } as \neq \perp_{A_i} \\ \perp_A & \text{if } as = \perp_{A_i} \end{cases}$$

for  $a \in A_i, i \in I, s \in S$ , and  $\perp_A \cdot s = \perp_A$ . In particular,  $\perp_A \cdot 1 = \perp_A$ . We see that also for  $a \neq \perp_A, a \cdot 1 = a$ , because, for some  $i \in I, a \in A_i$ , and so  $a \cdot 1 = a1 = a$ . Also,  $a \cdot (st) = (a \cdot s) \cdot t$ , for  $a \in A, s, t \in S$ . This is because,  $\perp_A \cdot (st) = (\perp_A \cdot s) \cdot t$ , by the definition, and for  $a \neq \perp_A, a \in A_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . If  $a(st) \neq \perp_{A_i}$ , then  $as \neq \perp_{A_i}$ , (otherwise since  $\perp_{A_i}$  is a zero element,  $a(st) = (as)t = \perp_{A_i}t = \perp_{A_i}$ ); also  $(as)t = a(st) \neq \perp_{A_i}$ . So  $(as) \cdot t = (as) \cdot t = (as)t = a(st) = a \cdot (st)$ . Secondly, if  $a(st) = \perp_{A_i}$ , then  $a \cdot (st) = \perp_A$ . Now, if  $as = \perp_{A_i}$  then  $a \cdot s = \perp_A$  and so  $(a \cdot s) \cdot t = \perp_A \cdot t = \perp_A$ . Also, if  $as \neq \perp_{A_i}$  then  $a \cdot s = as$ , and since  $(as)t = a(st) = \perp_{A_i}, (a \cdot s) \cdot t = \perp_A$ . Thus  $(a \cdot s) \cdot t = (a \cdot s) \cdot t = \perp_A$ , as required.

Now, we show that the action is continuous. Notice that  $D \subseteq^d A$  is directed if and only if  $D \subseteq^d A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ , or  $D = D' \cup \{\perp_A\}$ , where  $D' = \emptyset$  or  $D'$  is a

directed subset of  $A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ . This is because, if  $D \subseteq^d A$  and  $\perp_A \notin D$ , and on the contrary, if there exist  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  such that  $d_1 \in A_i$  and  $d_2 \in A_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then there exists  $d_3 \in D$  such that  $d_1 \leq d_3$  and  $d_2 \leq d_3$ . Also, by the definition of the order on  $A$ ,  $d_3 \in A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. So  $D \subseteq^d A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ . Now, let  $\perp_A \in D$ . We show that  $D' = D - \{\perp_A\}$  is a directed subset of  $A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ . On the contrary, let there exist  $d_1', d_2' \in D'$  such that  $d_1' \in A_i$  and  $d_2' \in A_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Since  $D$  is directed, there exists  $d_3 \in D$  such that  $d_1' \leq d_3$  and  $d_2' \leq d_3$ . By the definition of the order on  $A$ ,  $d_3 \in A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. So  $D' \subseteq^d A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ . Now, applying Lemma 1.2, we show that the action is continuous. Let  $D \subseteq^d \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  and  $s \in S$ . By the above discussion, two cases may occur:

CASE (i):  $D \subseteq^d A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ .

SUBCASE (i1): If  $(\bigvee^d D)s \neq \perp_{A_i}$ , then we have  $(\bigvee^d D) \cdot s = (\bigvee^d D)s = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs$ , where the last equality is because  $A_i$  is an  $S$ -cpo. Now we claim that

$$\bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d x \cdot s \quad (*)$$

Let  $K = \{x \in D : xs \neq \perp_{A_i}\}$ . Then  $K$  satisfies:

(1)  $K \neq \emptyset$ , because otherwise  $(\bigvee^d D)s = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs = \perp_{A_i}$ , which is a contradiction.

(2) For all  $x \in K$ ,  $x \cdot s = xs$ , by the definition of the action on  $A$ .

(3) For all  $x \in K$  and  $x' \in D \setminus K$ , there exists  $x'' \in K$  with  $x \leq x''$  and  $x' \leq x''$ , since  $D$  is directed. But, then  $xs \leq x''s$ , and hence  $x'' \in K$ , since  $x \in K$ .

Now to prove (\*), first we see that  $\bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs$  is an upper bound of the set  $\{x \cdot s : x \in D\}$ . Also for all  $x \in K$ ,  $x \cdot s = xs \leq \bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs$ . For  $x \in D \setminus K$ ,  $x \cdot s = \perp_A \leq \bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs$ , as required. Secondly, if  $c$  is an upper bound of the set  $\{x \cdot s : x \in D\}$ . For all  $x \in K$ , we have  $x \cdot s = xs \leq c$ . For  $x \in D \setminus K$  and  $x' \in K$  (which exists, since  $K \neq \emptyset$ ), by (3) there exists  $x'' \in K$  such that  $x < x''$  and  $x' \leq x''$ . This gives  $xs \leq x''s = x'' \cdot s \leq c$ . Then for all  $x \in D$ , we have  $xs \leq c$ , and so  $\bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs \leq c$ , as required.

SUBCASE (i2): If  $(\bigvee^d D)s = \perp_{A_i}$ , then we again have  $(\bigvee^d D)s = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs$ . This is because, the action on  $A_i$  is continuous on the second component. Also,  $(\bigvee^d D)s = \perp_{A_i}$  gives  $xs = \perp_{A_i}$ , for all  $x \in D$ . This is because,  $\perp_{A_i} = (\bigvee^d D)s = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs$ . Hence by the definition of the action on  $A$ ,  $(\bigvee^d D) \cdot s = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d x \cdot s = \perp_A$ .

CASE (ii):  $D = D' \cup \perp_A$ , where  $D' \subseteq^d A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ .

By case (i), we have  $(\bigvee^d D') \cdot s = \bigvee_{x' \in D'}^d x' \cdot s$ . Also, we have  $(\bigvee^d D) \cdot s = (\bigvee^d D') \cdot s = \bigvee_{x' \in D'}^d x' \cdot s = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d x \cdot s$ , as required.

Now to prove that the action is continuous in the second component, let  $T \subseteq^d S$  and  $a \in A$ . We show that  $a \cdot \bigvee_{t \in T}^d a \cdot t = \bigvee_{t \in T}^d a \cdot t$ . Consider the following two cases:

(a): If  $a = \perp_A$ , then by the definition of the action on  $A$ ,  $a \cdot \bigvee_{t \in T}^d a \cdot t = \bigvee_{t \in T}^d a \cdot t = \perp_A$ .

(b): If  $a \neq \perp_A$ , then for some  $i \in I$ ,  $a \in A_i$ . We have the following two situations:

(b1): If  $a(\bigvee^d T) \neq \perp_{A_i}$  then we have  $a \cdot (\bigvee^d T) = a(\bigvee^d T) = \bigvee^d_{t \in T} at$ , where the last equality is true because  $A_i$  is an  $S$ -cpo. Now, we claim that

$$\bigvee^d_{t \in T} a \cdot t = \bigvee^d_{t \in T} at \quad (**).$$

Let  $L = \{t \in T : at \neq \perp_{A_i}\}$ . Then one can prove (in a similar way to the set  $K$  in the above discussion) that  $L$  satisfies:

- (1)  $L \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) For all  $t \in L$ ,  $a \cdot t = at$ .
- (3) For all  $t \in L$  and  $t' \in T \setminus L$ , there exists  $t'' \in L$  with  $t \leq t''$  and  $t' \leq t''$ .

Now to prove (\*\*), we see that first  $\bigvee^d_{t \in T} at$  is an upper bound of the set  $\{a \cdot t : t \in T\}$ . Also for all  $t \in L$ ,  $a \cdot t = at \leq \bigvee^d_{t \in T} at$ . For  $t \in T \setminus L$ ,  $a \cdot t = \perp_A \leq \bigvee^d_{t \in T} at$ , as required. Secondly, if  $c$  is an upper bound of the set  $\{a \cdot t : t \in T\}$ , then for all  $t \in L$ , we have  $at = a \cdot t \leq c$ . Now, by (3) and in the same way of Subcase (i1), for  $t \in T \setminus L$  there exists  $t'' \in L$  such that  $at \leq at'' \leq c$ . Then for all  $t \in T$ , we have  $at \leq c$ , and so  $\bigvee^d_{t \in T} at \leq c$ . Therefore, (\*\*) has been proved.

(b2): If  $a(\bigvee^d T) = \perp_{A_i}$ , we show that  $a \cdot (\bigvee^d T) = \bigvee^d_{t \in T} a \cdot t$ . Since  $A_i$  is an  $S$ -cpo, we have  $\bigvee^d_{t \in T} at = a(\bigvee^d T) = \perp_{A_i}$ . So for all  $t \in T$ ,  $at = \perp_{A_i}$ . Then by the definition of the action on  $A$ ,  $a \cdot (\bigvee^d T) = \bigvee^d_{t \in T} a \cdot t = \perp_A$ .

Therefore, the action on  $A$  is continuous, and so  $A = \biguplus_{i \in I} A_i$  is an  $S$ -cpo.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $S$ -cpo's whose bottom elements are zero elements. Then their coproduct exists in **Cpo-S**.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \biguplus_{i \in I} A_i$ . By Proposition 2.5,  $A$  is an  $S$ -cpo and by Remark 1.3, the injections  $u_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ ,  $i \in I$ , defined by

$$u_i(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq \perp_{A_i} \\ \perp_A & \text{if } x = \perp_{A_i} \end{cases}$$

are cpo maps. Also we show that  $u_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ ,  $i \in I$  are action-preserving. First notice that  $u_i(\perp_{A_i s}) = u_i(\perp_{A_i}) = \perp_A = \perp_A \cdot s = u_i(\perp_{A_i}) \cdot s$ . Now, let  $\perp_{A_i} \neq x \in A_i$  and  $s \in S$ . If  $xs = \perp_{A_i}$ , then by the definition of the action on  $A$ ,  $x \cdot s = \perp_A$ , and so  $u_i(xs) = \perp_A = x \cdot s = u_i(x) \cdot s$ . If  $xs \neq \perp_{A_i}$ , then  $x \cdot s = xs$ , and so  $u_i(xs) = xs = x \cdot s = u_i(x) \cdot s$ . Moreover for every  $S$ -cpo  $B$  with  $S$ -cpo maps  $f_i : A_i \rightarrow B$ ,  $i \in I$ , the unique cpo map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  given by

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} f_i(a) & \text{if } a \in A_i \\ \perp_B & \text{if } x = \perp_A \end{cases}$$

which exists by the universal property of coproducts in  $\mathbf{Cpo}$ , and satisfies  $f \circ u_i = f_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , is action-preserving. First notice that since each  $f_i$  is action-preserving and  $\perp_{A_i}$  is a zero element,  $f_i(\perp_{A_i}) = \perp_B$  is a zero element. Now,  $f(\perp_A \cdot s) = f(\perp_A) = \perp_B = \perp_B s = f(\perp_A)s$ , for all  $s \in S$ . Also, for  $a \neq \perp_A$ , we have  $a \in A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ . Therefore, if  $as = \perp_{A_i}$  we get  $a \cdot s = \perp_A$ , and so  $f(a \cdot s) = f(\perp_A) = \perp_B = f_i(\perp_{A_i}) = f_i(as) = f_i(a)s = f(a)s$ . If  $as \neq \perp_{A_i}$ , then we have  $a \cdot s = as$ , and so  $f(a \cdot s) = f(as) = f_i(as) = f_i(a)s = f(a)s$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $S$  be a cpo-monoid in which the identity element is the top element. Then  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  has all coproducts.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.6, it is enough to show that the bottom element of every  $S$ -cpo  $A$  is a zero element. For all  $s \in S$ , we have  $s \leq 1$ , and so  $\perp_A s \leq \perp_A 1 = \perp_A$ . But,  $\perp_A$  is the bottom element of  $A$  and so  $\perp_A s = \perp_A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Pullbacks and equalizers exist in the categories  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  and  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f, g: A \rightarrow B$  be  $S$ -cpo ( $S$ -dcpo) maps. Then

$$E = \{x \in A: f(x) = g(x)\}$$

is a sub- $S$ -cpo ( $S$ -dcpo) of  $A$ , and the inclusion map satisfies  $f \circ i = g \circ i$ . Also, if  $e: K \rightarrow L$  is an  $S$ -cpo ( $S$ -dcpo) map with  $f \circ e = g \circ e$  then the map  $\gamma: K \rightarrow E$  given by  $\gamma(x) = e(x)$  is the unique  $S$ -cpo ( $S$ -dcpo) map such that  $i \circ \gamma = e$ .

Also, it is easily seen that the pullback of  $S$ -cpo ( $S$ -dcpo) maps  $f: A \rightarrow C$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$  is the sub- $S$ -cpo ( $S$ -dcpo)  $P = \{(a, b): f(a) = g(b)\}$  of  $A \times B$ , together with the restricted projection maps.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.8, we get the following result.

**Proposition 2.9.** *The categories  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  and  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  are complete.*

### 3. Cocompleteness and cartesian closedness

In this section, we consider some other categorical properties of  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  and  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$ . We show that monomorphism in  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  are exactly one-one  $S$ -dcpo maps, while epimorphisms are not necessarily onto  $S$ -dcpo maps. Also, we prove that  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is a cocomplete category. Further, it is proved that  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is cartesian closed while  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  is not so, and hence it is neither a topos nor a quasitopos (see [9]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *A morphism in  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is a monomorphism if and only if it is one-one.*



*Proof.* Let  $h: A \rightarrow B$  be a monomorphism in  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$ , and  $h(a) = h(a')$ . Consider the  $S$ -dcpo maps  $f, g: S \rightarrow A$  given by  $f(s) = as$  and  $g(s) = a's$ , for  $s \in S$ . Then,  $h \circ f = h \circ g$  and so  $f = g$ . Thus,  $a = a'$ .  $\square$

In the following we show that the category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is cocomplete.

Recall that an object  $C$  of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *coseparator* if the functor  $\text{hom}(-, C) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is faithful; in other words, for each distinct arrows  $f, g: A \rightarrow B$  there exists an arrow  $h: B \rightarrow C$  such that  $h \circ f \neq h \circ g$ .

Also, recall from [6], Theorem 23.14 that a complete well-powered category which has a coseparator, is cocomplete. Therefore, we show that  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  has a coseparator and is well-powered.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The forgetful functor  $U_1: \mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S \rightarrow \mathbf{Dcpo}$  has a right adjoint.*

*Proof.* We define the cofree functor  $K_1: \mathbf{Dcpo} \rightarrow \mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  as  $K_1(P) = P^{(S)}$ , where  $P^{(S)}$  is the set of all dcpo maps from  $S$  to  $P$ . We give it the pointwise order and the action by  $(fs)(t) = f(st)$ , for  $s, t \in S$  and  $f \in P^{(S)}$ . Then,  $P^{(S)}$  is an  $S$ -dcpo. We know that  $P^{(S)}$  is a dcpo (see [7]). Now, we show that the action defined above is a continuous map. Applying Lemma 1.2, let  $F \subseteq^d P^{(S)}$ . Then

$$((\bigvee^d F)s)(t) = (\bigvee^d F)(st) = \bigvee_{f \in F} f(st) = \bigvee_{f \in F} (fs)(t) = (\bigvee_{f \in F} fs)(t)$$

so we have  $(\bigvee^d F)s = \bigvee^d (Fs)$ . Now, assume that  $T \subseteq^d S$  and  $f \in P^{(S)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (f(\bigvee^d T))(s) &= f((\bigvee^d T)s) = f(\bigvee_{t \in T} ts) \\ &= \bigvee_{t \in T} f(ts) = \bigvee_{t \in T} (ft)(s) = (\bigvee_{t \in T} ft)(s) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f(\bigvee^d T) = \bigvee_{t \in T}^d ft$ , as required. Consequently  $P^{(S)}$  is an  $S$ -dcpo.

Now, consider the cofree map (the counit of the adjunction)  $\sigma: P^{(S)} \rightarrow P$ , given by  $\sigma(f) = f(1)$ . We show that it is continuous. Let  $F \subseteq^d P^{(S)}$ . Then

$$\sigma(\bigvee_{f \in F}^d f) = (\bigvee_{f \in F}^d f)(1) = \bigvee_{f \in F} f(1) = \bigvee_{f \in F} \sigma(f).$$

To see the universal property, let  $\alpha: A \rightarrow P$  be a continuous map from an  $S$ -dcpo  $A$ . Then the unique  $S$ -poset map  $\bar{\alpha}: A \rightarrow P^{(S)}$  given by  $\bar{\alpha}(a)(s) = \alpha(as)$  and satisfying  $\sigma \circ \bar{\alpha} = \alpha$  (see [2]) is continuous. To show this, let  $D \subseteq^d A$  and  $s \in S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}(\bigvee^d D)(s) &= \alpha((\bigvee^d D)s) = \alpha(\bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in D}^d \alpha(xs) = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d \bar{\alpha}(x)(s) = (\bigvee_{x \in D}^d \bar{\alpha}(x))(s) \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

Notice that the forgetful functor  $U: \mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S \rightarrow \mathbf{Cpo}$  does not necessarily have a right adjoint. This is because,  $U$  does not preserve initial object in general. For example, let  $S$  be the 2-element chain  $\{1, a\}$  with  $1 < a$ , and  $aa = a$ ,  $1a = a = a1$ . Then  $S$  is an  $S$ -cpo, and it is the initial object of  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  (see Remark 2.3), whereas the initial object in the category  $\mathbf{Cpo}$  is the singleton cpo.

**Corollary 3.3.** *The category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  has a coseparator.*

*Proof.* We show that for each dcpo  $P$  with  $|P| \geq 2$  and non discrete order, the cofree object  $P^{(S)}$  described in Proposition 3.2 is a coseparator.

Let  $f, g: A \rightarrow B$  be  $S$ -dcpo maps with  $f \neq g$ . We should define an  $S$ -dcpo map  $h: B \rightarrow P^{(S)}$  with  $h \circ f \neq h \circ g$ . To this end, we define a dcpo map  $k: B \rightarrow P$  such that  $k \circ f \neq k \circ g$ .

Since  $f \neq g$ , there exists  $a \in A$  with  $f(a) \neq g(a)$ . We consider three cases

$$(1) f(a) < g(a) \quad (2) g(a) < f(a) \quad (3) f(a) \parallel g(a)$$

Let  $f(a) < g(a)$ . Take  $B' = \{b \in B \mid b \leq f(a)\}$ . Define  $k: B \rightarrow P$  by

$$k(b) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } b \in B' \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $x, y \in P$  and  $x < y$  (such  $x, y$  exist since  $|P| \geq 2$  and the order on  $P$  is not discrete). First we show that  $k$  is order-preserving, and hence it take directed subsets to directed ones. Let  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  with  $b_1 \leq b_2$ . If  $b_1 \in B'$ , then for the case where  $b_2 \in B'$ ,  $x = k(b_1) = k(b_2)$ ; and for the case where  $b_2 \notin B'$ ,  $x = k(b_1) < y = k(b_2)$ . Also, if  $b_1 \notin B'$  then  $b_2 \notin B'$ , and so  $k(b_1) = k(b_2) = y$ . To prove the continuity of  $k$ , let  $D \subseteq^d B$ . Notice that  $\bigvee^d D \in B'$  if and only if  $D \subseteq B'$ . Now, if  $\bigvee^d D \in B'$ , then  $D \subseteq B'$  and so  $k(\bigvee^d D) = x = \bigvee_{z \in D}^d k(z)$ . Also, if  $\bigvee^d D \notin B'$  then  $k(\bigvee^d D) = y$ , and  $D \not\subseteq B'$ . Thus  $D \setminus B' \neq \emptyset$ , and

$$\bigvee_{z \in D}^d k(z) = \bigvee_{z \in (D \setminus B') \cup (B' \cap D)}^d k(z) = y \vee x = y$$

as required. Finally, since  $P^{(S)}$  is the cofree  $S$ -dcpo on  $P$ , there exists a unique  $S$ -dcpo map  $h: B \rightarrow P^{(S)}$  such that  $\sigma \circ h = k$ , where  $\sigma$  is the cofree map defined in the above proposition. This gives that  $h \circ f \neq h \circ g$ , and so  $P^{(S)}$  is a coseparator.

The cases (2) and (3) are proved similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is well-powered.*

*Proof.* We should prove that the class of isomorphic subobjects of any  $S$ -dcpo is a set. Let  $B$  be an  $S$ -dcpo and  $A$  be a subobject of  $B$ ; that is there exists a monomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $f$  is one-one and so  $A$  is isomorphic to a subset of  $B$ . Hence the class of isomorphic subobjects of  $B$  is a subset of the powerset of  $B$ , and therefore is a set.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *The category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is cocomplete.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 23.14 of [6], Corollary 3.3, Lemma 3.4, and Proposition 2.9,  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is cocomplete.  $\square$

The following example shows that epimorphisms in the categories  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  and  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  are not necessarily surjective.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $S$  be an arbitrary dcpo(cpo)-monoid. Take  $A$  to be the dcpo(cpo)  $\perp \oplus \mathbb{N}$  in which the order on  $\mathbb{N}$  is discrete and  $B = \perp \oplus \mathbb{N} \oplus \top$  in which the order on  $\mathbb{N}$  is the usual order. Then both of  $A$  and  $B$  with the trivial action are  $S$ -dcpo's (cpo's). Let  $h: A \rightarrow B$  be the inclusion map. Then  $h$  clearly preserves the action. Also,  $h$  is (strict) continuous. To see this, let  $D \subseteq^d \perp \oplus \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $D = \{\perp\}$ , or there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D = \{\perp, n\}$ , or there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D = \{n\}$ . If  $D = \{\perp, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$h(\bigvee^d D) = h(n) = n = \bigvee^d \{\perp, n\} = \bigvee^d \{h(\perp), h(n)\} = \bigvee^d h(D).$$

This is clearly true for other kinds of  $D$ . Now we claim that  $h$  is an  $S$ -dcpo(cpo) map which is an epimorphism but is not surjective. The latter is because  $\top$  is not in the image of  $h$ . To show that  $h$  is an epimorphism, let  $f_1, f_2: B \rightarrow P$  be  $S$ -dcpo(cpo) maps with  $f_1 \circ h = f_2 \circ h$ , and  $P$  be an  $S$ -dcpo(cpo). Then  $f_1(\perp) = f_1(h(\perp)) = f_2(h(\perp)) = f_2(\perp)$  and  $f_1(n) = f_1(h(n)) = f_2(h(n)) = f_2(n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also

$$f_1(\top) = f_1(\bigvee^d \mathbb{N}) = \bigvee^d_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_1(n) = \bigvee^d_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_2(n) = f_2(\bigvee^d \mathbb{N}) = f_2(\top).$$

Therefore,  $f_1 = f_2$ , and so  $h$  is an epimorphism.

Finally, we consider cartesian closedness. Recall that a category  $\mathcal{C}$  which has finite products, is called *cartesian closed* if, for every pair of objects  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , an object  $B^A$  and a morphism  $ev: A \times B^A \rightarrow B$  exist with the universal property that for every morphism  $f: A \times C \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\hat{f}: C \rightarrow B^A$  such that  $ev \circ (id_A \times \hat{f}) = f$ . In this definition, the objects  $B^A$  are called *power objects or exponentials*, and  $ev$  is said to be *the evaluation map*, and  $\hat{f}$  is called *the exponential map* associated to  $f$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *The category  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  is not necessarily cartesian closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{1\}$ , then the category  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  is isomorphic to the category  $\mathbf{Cpo}$  which is not cartesian closed (See [4]).

For an example in which  $S$  is not trivial, let  $S$  be the 2-element chain  $\{1, a\}$  with identity 1,  $1 < a$  and  $aa = a$ . Then  $S$  is an  $S$ -cpo, and by Remark 2.3, it is the initial object of  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$ . Then for a non trivial  $S$ -cpo  $A$ , the functor

$A \times -: \mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S \rightarrow \mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  does not have preserve the initial object (since  $|A| \times 2 \neq 2$ ), and so does not have a right adjoint. Therefore, the category  $\mathbf{Cpo}\text{-}S$  is not cartesian closed.  $\square$

In the following, we show that  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is cartesian closed.

**Theorem 3.8.** *The category  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  is cartesian closed.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.9,  $\mathbf{Dcpo}\text{-}S$  has finite products. Given  $S$ -dcpo's  $A, B$ , we define the exponential object  $B^A$  to be  $Hom(S \times A, B)$ , the set of all  $S$ -dcpo maps from the product object  $S \times A$  to  $B$ . This set is an  $S$ -dcpo with pointwise order, and action given by  $(fs)(t, a) = f(st, a)$ . The evaluation arrow  $ev: A \times B^A \rightarrow B$  is defined by  $ev(a, f) = f(1, a)$ , is an  $S$ -dcpo map. It is an  $S$ -poset map (see [2]), to prove continuity, let  $D \subseteq^d A$  and  $f \in B^A$ , then

$$ev(\bigvee^d D, f) = f(1, \bigvee^d D) = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d f(1, x) = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d ev(x, f)$$

since  $f$  is continuous. Also, for  $F \subseteq^d B^A$  and  $a \in A$ , we have

$$ev(a, \bigvee^d F) = (\bigvee^d F)(1, a) = \bigvee_{f \in F}^d f(1, a) = \bigvee_{f \in F}^d ev(a, f)$$

To prove the universal property, take an  $S$ -dcpo  $C$  and an  $S$ -dcpo map  $f: A \times C \rightarrow B$ . Define the map  $\hat{f}: C \rightarrow B^A$  by  $\hat{f}(x)(s, a) = f(a, xs)$ , for  $x \in C$ ,  $a \in A$ , and  $s \in S$ . As in the case of  $S$ -sets (see [4]), it can be shown that  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{f}(x)$ , for each  $x \in C$ , preserve the action. Also, we show that each  $\hat{f}(x)$  is continuous. Let  $T \subseteq^d S$  and  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\hat{f}(x)(\bigvee^d T, a) = f(a, x(\bigvee^d T)) = f(a, \bigvee_{t \in T}^d xt) = \bigvee_{t \in T}^d f(a, xt) = \bigvee_{t \in T}^d \hat{f}(x)(t, a)$$

Now, let  $D \subseteq^d A$  and  $s \in S$ . Then

$$\hat{f}(x)(s, \bigvee^d D) = f(\bigvee^d D, xs) = \bigvee_{d \in D}^d f(d, xs) = \bigvee_{d \in D}^d \hat{f}(x)(s, d)$$

as required. Further,  $\hat{f}$  is continuous, because for every  $D \subseteq^d C$  and  $(s, a) \in S \times A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\bigvee^d D)(s, a) &= f(a, (\bigvee^d D)s) = f(a, \bigvee_{x \in D}^d xs) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in D}^d f(a, xs) = \bigvee_{x \in D}^d \hat{f}(x)(s, a) \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** The above proof for the case where  $S$  is a one-element dcpo-monoid shows that the exponential object  $B^A$  in **Dcpo** is the set of all continuous maps from  $A$  into  $B$ , with pointwise order (for another proof of this fact, see [7]).

**Open Problems:**

1. *Is the category **Cpo-S** cocomplete? If yes, what is the description of coequalizers and pushouts?*
2. *For which class of semigroups  $S$ , the category **cpo-S** is cartesian closed?*

**Acknowledgment.** The authors gratefully acknowledge Professor M. Mehdi Ebrahimi's comments and conversation during this work. Also, thanks to the kind hospitality of Hakim Sabzevari University, during our stay there, which helped us to finish this paper. Also, our sincere thanks goes to the referee for the very valuable comments which improved the paper.

## References

- [1] **S. Abramsky and A. Jung**, *Domain Theory*, Handbook of logic in Computer Science **3** (1994), 1 – 168.
- [2] **S. Bulman-Fleming, and M. Mahmoudi**, *The category of S-posets*, Semigroup Forum **71** (2005), no. 3, 443 – 461.
- [3] **B.A. Davey, and H.A. Priestly**, *Introduction to Lattices and Order*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [4] **M.M. Ebrahimi and M. Mahmoudi**, *The category of M-Sets*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (2001), 123 – 132.
- [5] **A. Fiech**, *Colimits in the category Dcpo*, Math. Structures Comput. Sci. **6** (1996), 455 – 468.
- [6] **H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker**, *Category Theory*, Allyn and Bacon, 1973.
- [7] **A. Jung**, *Cartesian closed categories of Domain*, Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, (1989).
- [8] **M. Kilp, U. Knauer, and A. Mikhalev**, *Monoids, Acts and Categories*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.
- [9] **S. Mac Lane, I. Moerdijk**, *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A First Introduction to Topos Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

Received December 15, 2014

Revised June 14, 2015

Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University G.C., Tehran 19839, Iran  
E-mails: m-mahmoudi@sbu.ac.ir, h\_moghbeli@sbu.ac.ir