

Characterization of monoids by condition (P_E)

Zohre Khaki, Hossein Mohammadzadeh Saany and Leila Nouri

Abstract. In this paper first we recall condition (P_E) and then will give general properties and a characterization of monoids for which all right acts satisfy this condition. Finally, we give a characterization of monoids, by comparing this property of their acts with some others.

1. Introduction

For a monoid S , with 1 as its identity, a set A (we consider nonempty) is called a right S -act, usually denoted by A_S (or simply A), if S on A unitarian from the right, that is, there exists a mapping $A \times S \rightarrow A$, $(a, s) \mapsto as$, satisfying the conditions $a(st) = (as)t$ and $a1 = a$, for all $a \in A$ and all $s, t \in S$. Let A, B be two right S -acts. A mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called a homomorphism of right S -acts or just an S -homomorphism if $f(as) = f(a)s$ for $a \in A$, $s \in S$. The set of all S -homomorphisms from A into B will be denoted by $\text{Hom}(A, B)$. Also **Act- S** is the category of right S -acts.

In [4], introduced condition (P_E) and it is shown that this condition implies weak flatness, but the converse is true when S is left PP and in [3] gave a classification of monoid by this condition of (finitely generated, cyclic, monocyclic, Rees factor) right acts.

In this paper, we recall condition (P_E) and we continue the investigation of this condition. At first we give general properties of this condition. Finally, we will give a characterization of monoids S over which all right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) and also a characterization of monoids S for which this condition of right S -acts has some other properties and vice versa.

We refer the reader to [5, 6], for basic definitions and terminologies relating to semigroups and acts over monoids and to [8], for definitions and results on flatness which are used here.

2. General properties

In this section we recall condition (P_E) and give some results of it.

Recall from [3] that a right S -act A satisfies *condition* (P) , if for all $a, a' \in A$, $s, s' \in S$, $as = a's' \Rightarrow (\exists a'' \in A)(\exists u, v \in S)(a = a''u, a' = a''v \text{ and } us = vs')$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:20M30

Keywords: condition (P_E) , left PP monoid, regular, weakly flat, finitely generated.

It satisfies condition (P_E) , if for all $a, a' \in A, s, s' \in S, as = a's' \Rightarrow (\exists a'' \in A)(\exists u, v \in S)(\exists e, f \in E(S)) (ae = a''ue, a'f = a''vf, es = s, fs' = s' \text{ and } us = vs')$. It is clear that condition (P) implies condition (P_E) .

We can easily see that right S -act A satisfies condition (P_E) if and only if $as = a's'$, for $a, a' \in A, s, s' \in S$, implies that there exist $a'' \in A, u, v \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$ such that $ae = a''u, a'f = a''v, es = s, fs' = s'$ and $us = vs'$.

According to the equivalent definition for condition (P_E) expressed above, theorem 2.5 of [3], can be written as follows.

For a right congruence ρ on a monoid $S, S/\rho$ satisfies condition (P_E) if and only if $(xs)\rho(yt)$, for $x, y, s, t \in S$, implies that there exist $u, v \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$ such that $(xe)\rho u, (yf)\rho v, es = s, ft = t$ and $us = vt$.

We recall from [6] that a monoid S is called right reversible if for every $s, s' \in S$, there exist $u, v \in S$ such that $us = vs'$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a monoid and A be a right S -act. Then:*

1. S satisfies condition (P_E) .
2. Θ satisfies condition (P_E) if and only if S is right reversible.
3. Let $I \neq \emptyset$ and $A = \coprod_{i \in I} A_i$, where $A_i, i \in I$, are right S -acts. Then A satisfies condition (P_E) if and only if each $A_i, i \in I$, satisfies condition (P_E) .
4. Let $\{B_i | i \in I\}$ be a nonempty chain of subacts of A . If every $B_i, i \in I$, satisfies condition (P_E) , then $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$ as a subact of A satisfies condition (P_E) .
5. If A satisfies condition (P_E) , then every retract of A satisfies condition (P_E) .

Proof. The proofs are straightforward. □

3. Characterization by condition (P_E) of right acts

In this section we give a characterization of monoids S by condition (P_E) of right S -acts. Also, we give a characterization of monoids, by comparing condition (P_E) of their acts with some others.

We recall [6] that a right ideal K of a monoid S satisfies condition (LU) if for every $k \in K$, there exists $l \in K$ such that $lk = k$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be any proper right ideal of a monoid S . If the right S -act $S \coprod^K S$ satisfies condition (P_E) , then K satisfies condition (LU) .*

Proof. All right S -acts satisfying condition (P_E) are weakly flat, by [4, Theorem 2.3]. Thus K satisfies condition (LU) , by [6, III, Proposition 12.19]. □

Recall from [4, 6] that a monoid S is called a left PP monoid if every principal left ideal of S is projective. Therefore, a monoid S is left PP if and only if for every $s \in S$ there exists an idempotent e of S such that $es = s$ and $\ker \rho_s \leq \ker \rho_e$. A right S -act A is called weakly flat (WF), if the functor $A \otimes_S -$ preserves all embeddings of left ideals into S .

Theorem 3.2. *For a proper right ideal K of a monoid S , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) All right S -acts of the form $S \coprod^K S$ satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (2) S is regular.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose $s \in S$. If $sS = S$ then it is obvious that s is regular.

Otherwise sS is a proper right ideal of monoid S and $S \coprod^{sS} S$ satisfies condition (P_E) , by assumption. So by Theorem 3.1, sS satisfies condition (LU) . Then there exists $l \in sS$ such that $ls = s$. Hence s is regular, and so, S is regular.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose K is any proper right ideal of the monoid S and $k \in K$. By assumption there exists $k' \in S$ such that $k = kk'k$, that is, the ideal K satisfies condition (LU) . So by [6, III, Proposition 12.19], $S \coprod^K S$ is weakly flat. Since S is regular, it is left PP . Then by [4, Theorem 2.5], $S \coprod^K S$ satisfies condition (P_E) . \square

Recall from [6], [8], [10] and [2] that a right S -act A satisfies condition (E) , if for all $a \in A$, $s, s' \in S$,

$$as = as' \Rightarrow (\exists a' \in A)(\exists u \in S)(a = a'u \text{ and } us = us').$$

A satisfies condition (E') , if for all $a \in A$, $s, s', z \in S$,

$$(as = as', sz = s'z) \Rightarrow (\exists a' \in A)(\exists u \in S)(a = a'u \text{ and } us = us').$$

A satisfies condition (EP) , if for all $a \in A$, $s, s' \in S$,

$$as = as' \Rightarrow (\exists a' \in A)(\exists u, u' \in S)(a = a'u = a'u' \text{ and } us = u's').$$

A satisfies condition $(E'P)$, if for all $a \in A$, $s, s', z \in S$,

$$(as = as', sz = s'z) \Rightarrow (\exists a' \in A)(\exists u, u' \in S)(a = a'u = a'u' \text{ and } us = u's').$$

Theorem 3.3. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) All right S -acts satisfying condition $(E'P)$ satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (2) All right S -acts satisfying condition (E') satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (3) All right S -acts satisfying condition (EP) satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (4) All right S -acts satisfying condition (E) satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (5) S is regular.

Proof. Since $(E) \Rightarrow (EP) \Rightarrow (E'P)$ and $(E) \Rightarrow (E') \Rightarrow (E'P)$, implications $(1) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (4)$ are obvious.

$(4) \Rightarrow (5)$. If $s \in S$, and $sS = S$ then s is regular. Now let $sS \neq S$ Then

$$A = S \coprod^{sS} S = \{(l, x) | l \in S \setminus sS\} \dot{\cup} sS \dot{\cup} \{(t, y) | t \in S \setminus sS\}$$

is a right S -act and

$$B = \{(l, x) | l \in S \setminus sS\} \dot{\cup} sS \cong S \cong \{(t, y) | t \in S \setminus sS\} \dot{\cup} sS = C.$$

Since $A = B \cup C$ is generated by exactly two elements $(1, x)$ and $(1, y)$ and S satisfies condition (E) , subacts B and C of A satisfy condition (E) , so A satisfies condition (E) . Then by assumption A satisfies condition (P_E) . Thus the equality $(1, x)s = (1, y)s$, implies that there exist $a \in A$, $u, v \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$, such that $(1, x)e = au$, $(1, y)f = av$, $us = vs$ and $es = s = fs$. Now $(1, x)e = au$ and $(1, y)f = av$ imply that at least one of elements e or f is belong to sS . If $e \in sS$ then there exists $s' \in S$ such that $e = ss'$, and so, $s = es = ss's$, that is, s is regular. Similarly, we can show that s is regular, if $f \in sS$. Therefore S is regular.

$(5) \Rightarrow (1)$. Since S is regular, by [2, Theorem 2.8] all right S -acts satisfying condition $(E'P)$ are weakly flat. Also every regular monoid is left PP , and so, by [4, Theorem 2.5] condition (P_E) and weakly flat are equivalent. Hence all right S -acts satisfying condition $(E'P)$ satisfy condition (P_E) . \square

By the proof of Theorem 3.3, we conclude that the above theorem is true for finitely generated right S -acts and also right S -acts generated by at most (exactly) two elements. Moreover, by [4, Theorem 2.5], if in Theorem 3.3, we replace condition (P_E) by weakly flat, then theorem is still true. In addition this theorem is true for finitely generated right S -acts, and also, right S -acts generated by at most (exactly) two elements.

Recall from [6] that a right S -act A is called principally weakly flat (PWF) if the functor $A \otimes_S -$, preserves all embeddings of principal left ideals into S . An act A_S is called torsion free (TF) if for any $a, b \in A$ and for any right cancellable element $u \in S$, the equality $au = bu$ implies $a = b$.

Also we recall from [10] that a right S -act A is called \mathfrak{R} -torsion free (\mathfrak{R} -TF) if for any $a, b \in A$ and $u \in S$, u right cancellable, $au = bu$ and $a \mathfrak{R} b$ (\mathfrak{R} is Green's equivalence) imply that $a = b$.

Theorem 3.4. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .*
- (2) *All \mathfrak{R} -torsion free right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .*
- (3) *S is regular and satisfies condition*

(R) : *for any elements $s, t \in S$ there exists $w \in Ss \cap St$ such that $w\phi(s, t)s$.*

Proof. Implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). By [10, Proposition 1.2] all right S -acts satisfying condition (E) are \mathfrak{R} -torsion free. Therefore all right S -acts satisfying condition (E) satisfy condition (P_E) , by assumption. Hence, S is regular, by Theorem 3.3. Then by [6, IV, Theorem 6.6], all right S -acts are PWF . Since $PWF \Rightarrow TF \Rightarrow \mathfrak{R}TF$, then all right S -acts are \mathfrak{R} -torsion free, and so, by assumption, all right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) . Therefore by [3, Theorem 2.1], S is regular and satisfies condition (R) .

(3) \Rightarrow (1). It is obvious, by [3, Theorem 2.1]. \square

It is clear that the theorem above is also true for finitely generated right S -acts and right S -acts generated by at most (exactly) two elements.

Recall from [6] that an element $a \in A_S$ is called divisible by $s \in S$ if there exists $b \in A$, such that $bs = a$. An act A_S is called divisible if every element of A is divisible by any left cancellable element of S .

Notation: C_l (C_r) is the set of all left (right) cancellable elements of S

Theorem 3.5. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All right S -acts are divisible.*
- (2) *All monocyclic right S -acts satisfying condition (P_E) , are divisible.*
- (3) *$Sc = S$ for every $c \in C_l$.*

Proof. Implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). By part (2.1) of Theorem 2.1, S_S satisfies condition (P_E) therefore by assumption, for every $x \in S$, $S_S \cong S/\Delta_S = S/\rho_{(x,x)}$ is divisible, that is, $Sc = S$ for all $c \in C_l$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). It is obvious, by [6, III, Proposition 2.2]. \square

We recall from [6] that a right S -act A is (strongly) faithful if for $s, t \in S$ the equality $as = at$, for (some) all $a \in A$, implies that $s = t$. It is obvious that every strongly faithful right S -act is faithful, but the converse is not true in generally.

In [1, Lemma 2.10], there exists at least one strongly faithful cyclic right (left) S -act if and only if S_S (${}_S S$) is a strongly faithful right (left) S -act, which it is equivalent to S is left (right) cancellative monoid.

Theorem 3.6. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All strongly faithful right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .*
- (2) *S is not a left cancellative monoid or S is regular.*
- (3) *S is not a left cancellative monoid or S is group.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If S is not left cancellative, the result follows. Otherwise, if $sS = S$, for $s \in S$, then s is regular. Now let $sS \neq S$. Then

$$A = S \coprod_{sS} S = \{(l, x) | l \in S \setminus sS\} \dot{\cup} sS \dot{\cup} \{(t, y) | t \in S \setminus sS\}$$

is a right S -act and

$$B = \{(l, x) | l \in S \setminus sS\} \dot{\cup} sS \cong S \cong \{(t, y) | t \in S \setminus sS\} \dot{\cup} sS = C.$$

Since S is left cancellative, it is strongly faithful. Therefore B and C are strongly faithful as subacts of A . Thus A is strongly faithful, and so, it satisfies condition (P_E) , by assumption. Now by the proof (4) \Rightarrow (5) of Theorem 3.3, S is regular.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). If S is left cancellative, then it is regular. Thus for every $s \in S$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $sxs = s$, which implies $xs = 1$. Hence for every $s \in S$, $Ss = S$, and so, S is group.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). If S is not left cancellative, then we are done, because, by [1, Lemma 2.10], there exists no strongly faithful right S -act. Otherwise, since S is group, all right S -acts satisfy condition (P) , by [6, IV, Theorem 9.10], and so, all right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) . \square

It is clear that above theorem is true for finitely generated right S -acts and also right S -acts generated by two elements.

We recall from [9] that a right S -act A is called almost weakly flat if A is principally weakly flat and satisfies condition

(W') If $as = a't$ and $Ss \cap St \neq \emptyset$ for $a, a' \in A$, $s, t \in S$, then there exist $a'' \in A$, $u \in Ss \cap St$ such that $as = a't = a''u$.

Theorem 3.7. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) All generator right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (2) All finitely generated generator right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (3) All generator right S -acts generated by at most three elements satisfy condition (P_E) .
- (4) $S \times A$ satisfies condition (P_E) , for every generator right S -act A .
- (5) $S \times A$ satisfies condition (P_E) , for every finitely generated generator right S -act A .
- (6) $S \times A$ satisfies condition (P_E) , for every generator right S -act generated by at most three elements A .
- (7) $S \times A$ satisfies condition (P_E) , for every right S -act A .
- (8) $S \times A$ satisfies condition (P_E) , for every finitely generated right S -act A .
- (9) $S \times A$ satisfies condition (P_E) , for every right S -act A generated by at most two elements.
- (10) A right S -act A satisfies condition (P_E) , if $\text{Hom}(A, S) \neq \emptyset$.
- (11) A finitely generated right S -act A satisfies condition (P_E) , if $\text{Hom}(A, S) \neq \emptyset$.
- (12) A right S -act A generated by at most two elements satisfies condition (P_E) , if $\text{Hom}(A, S) \neq \emptyset$.
- (13) All right S -acts are almost weakly flat.
- (14) S is regular and S satisfies condition below:

$$(\forall s, t \in S)(Ss \cap St \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (\exists w \in Ss \cap St; 1(\ker \lambda_s \vee \ker \lambda_t)w)).$$

Proof. The implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3), (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6), (7) \Rightarrow (8) \Rightarrow (9), (10) \Rightarrow (11) \Rightarrow (12) and (7) \Rightarrow (4) are obvious.

(13) \Leftrightarrow (14). By [9, Theorem 3.4] all generators are weakly flat, and so, it is obvious, by [9, Theorem 3.8].

(1) \Rightarrow (7). Let A be a right S -act. It is obvious that the mapping $\pi : S \times A \rightarrow S$, where $\pi(s, a) = s$, for $a \in A$ and $s \in S$, is an epimorphism in $\text{Act-}S$, and so by [6, II, Theorem 3.16], $S \times A$ is a generator. Thus $S \times A$ satisfies condition (P_E) , by assumption.

(12) \Rightarrow (1). Let A be a generator right S -act and $as = a't$ for $a, a' \in A$ and $s, t \in S$. If $B = aS \cup a'S$. It is obvious that B is a subact of A and generated by at most two elements. Since A is generator, there exists an epimorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow S$ in $\text{Act-}S$. So $\pi|_B : B \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism in $\text{Act-}S$, and so, $\text{Hom}(B, S) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, by assumption, B satisfies condition (P_E) . Therefore equality $as = a't$ in B , implies that there exist $a'' \in B \subseteq A$, $u, v \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$ such that $ae = a''u$, $a'f = a''v$, $us = vt$, $es = s$ and $ft = t$. Hence, A satisfies condition (P_E) .

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Let A be a generator right S -act and $as = a't$ for $a, a' \in A$ and $s, t \in S$. Since A is generator, there exists an epimorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow S$ in $\text{Act-}S$. Let $\pi(z) = 1$ and $B = aS \cup a'S \cup zS$. It is obvious that B is a subact of A and generated by at most three elements. Since $\pi|_B : B \rightarrow S$ is an epimorphism in $\text{Act-}S$, by [6, II, Theorem 3.16], B is a generator. Thus, by assumption, $S \times B$ satisfies condition (P_E) . If $\pi(a) = l$, $\pi(a') = l'$ then equality $as = a't$ in B , implies equality $(l, a)s = (l', a')t$ in $S \times B$. Hence, by definition, there exist $(w, a'') \in S \times B$, $u, v \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$ such that $(l, a)e = (w, a'')u$, $(l', a')f = (w, a'')v$, $us = vt$, $es = s$ and $ft = t$. Thus, $ae = a''u$, $a'f = a''v$, $us = vt$, $es = s$ and $ft = t$. Hence, A satisfies condition (P_E) .

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let A be a generator right S -act and $as = a't$ for $a, a' \in A$ and $s, t \in S$. Since A is generator, there exists an epimorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow S$ in $\text{Act-}S$. Let $\pi(z) = 1$ and $B = aS \cup a'S \cup zS$. It is obvious that B is a subact of A and generated by at most three elements. Since $\pi|_B : B \rightarrow S$ is an epimorphism in $\text{Act-}S$, by [6, II, Theorem 3.16], B is a generator. Thus, by assumption, B satisfies condition (P_E) . Therefore equality $as = a't$ in B , implies that there exist $a'' \in B \subseteq A$, $u, v \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$ such that $ae = a''u$, $a'f = a''v$, $us = vt$, $es = s$ and $ft = t$. Hence, A satisfies condition (P_E) .

(1) \Rightarrow (13). By [4, Theorem 2.3], condition (P_E) implies weakly flat. So by assumption all generator right S -acts are weakly flat. Then by [9, Theorem 3.4] all right S -acts are almost weakly flat.

(13) \Rightarrow (1). By [9, Theorem 3.4] all generator right S -acts are weakly flat. Thus, by [9, Theorem 3.8], S is regular, and so, S is left PP . Then by [4, Theorem 2.5], condition (P_E) and weakly flat are equivalent, the result follows.

(9) \Rightarrow (10). Let for right S -act A , $\text{Hom}(A, S) \neq \emptyset$ and $as = a't$ for $a, a' \in A$ and $s, t \in S$. If $B = aS \cup a'S$ then B is a subact of A and generated by at most two elements. Since $\text{Hom}(A, S) \neq \emptyset$ let $f : A \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism in $\text{Act-}S$.

Now equality $as = a't$ in A implies equality $(f(a), a)s = (f(a'), a')t$ in $S \times B$. Since by assumption $S \times B$, satisfies condition (P_E) , there exist $(w, a'') \in S \times B$, $u, v \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$ such that $(f(a), a)e = (w, a'')u$, $(f(a'), a')f = (w, a'')v$, $us = vt$, $es = s$ and $ft = t$. Then $ae = a''u$, $a'f = a''v$, $us = vt$, $es = s$ and $ft = t$. Hence, A satisfies condition (P_E) . \square

Recall [6] that a right S -act Q is injective (Inj), if for any monomorphism $\iota : A \rightarrow B$ and any homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow Q$ there exists a homomorphism $\bar{f} : B \rightarrow Q$ such that $f = \bar{f}\iota$. It is called (fg-) weakly injective ((fg-)WI), if it is injective relative to all embeddings of (finitely generated) right ideals into S .

Recall [7] that for elements $u, v \in S$, the relation $P_{u,v}$ is defined on S as

$$P_{u,v} = \{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid ux = vy\}.$$

For $s, t \in S$, let $\mu_{s,t} = \ker\lambda_s \vee \ker\lambda_t$.

For any right ideal I of S let ρ_I denote the right Rees congruence, i.e., for x, y in S ,

$$(x, y) \in \rho_I \iff x = y \text{ or } x, y \in I.$$

Theorem 3.8. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All fg-weakly injective right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .*
- (2) *All weakly injective right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .*
- (3) *All injective right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .*
- (4) *All cofree right S -acts satisfy condition (P_E) .*
- (5) *$(\forall s, t \in S) (\exists u, v \in S) (\exists e_1, e_2 \in E(S)); (e_1s = s, e_2t = t \wedge us = vt)$ and the following conditions hold*
 - (i) $P_{ue_1, ve_2} \subseteq P_{e_1, s} \circ \mu_{s, t} \circ P_{t, e_2}$,
 - (ii) $\ker\lambda_u \cap (e_1S \times e_1S) \subseteq \rho_{sS}$,
 - (iii) $\ker\lambda_v \cap (e_2S \times e_2S) \subseteq \rho_{tS}$.

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) are obvious, because $\text{cofree} \Rightarrow \text{Inj} \Rightarrow \text{WI} \Rightarrow \text{fg-WI}$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Let $s, t \in S$ and S_1, S_2 be two distinct sets, where $|S_1| = |S_2| = |S|$, and $\alpha : S \rightarrow S_1, \beta : S \rightarrow S_2$ are bijections. Put $X = (S/\mu_{s,t}) \dot{\cup} S_1 \dot{\cup} S_2$, and define the mappings $f, g : S \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} [y]_{\mu_{s,t}} & \text{if there exists } y \in S; x = sy \\ \alpha(x) & \text{if } x \in S \setminus sS \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} [y]_{\mu_{s,t}} & \text{if there exists } y \in S; x = ty \\ \beta(x) & \text{if } x \in S \setminus tS. \end{cases}$$

We show that f is well-defined. For this, suppose that $sy_1 = sy_2$, for $y_1, y_2 \in S$, hence $(y_1, y_2) \in \ker\lambda_s \subseteq \ker\lambda_s \vee \ker\lambda_t = \mu_{s,t}$ and so $[y_1]_{\mu_{s,t}} = [y_2]_{\mu_{s,t}}$, that

is, $f(sy_1) = [y_1]_{\mu_{s,t}} = [y_2]_{\mu_{s,t}} = f(sy_2)$ and so f is well-defined. Similarly, g is well-defined.

Since $fs = gt$, and $X^S = \{h : S \rightarrow X\}$ satisfies condition (P_E) , there exist mapping $h : S \rightarrow X$, $u, v \in S$ and $e_1, e_2 \in E(S)$ such that $fe_1 = hu$, $ge_2 = hv$, $e_1s = s$, $e_2t = t$ and $us = vt$. So $fe_1 = hue_1$, $ge_2 = hve_2$, $e_1s = s$, $e_2t = t$ and $us = vt$. Let $(l_1, l_2) \in P_{ue_1, ve_2}$, for $l_1, l_2 \in S$, then:

$$(fe_1)l_1 = (hue_1)l_1 = h(ue_1l_1) = h(ve_2l_2) = (hve_2)l_2 = (ge_2)l_2 = g(e_2l_2)$$

So by the definitions f and g there exist $y_1, y_2 \in S$ such that $e_1l_1 = sy_1$ and $e_2l_2 = ty_2$ so $f(e_1l_1) = f(sy_1) = [y_1]_{\mu_{s,t}}$ and $g(e_2l_2) = g(ty_2) = [y_2]_{\mu_{s,t}}$. Then $[y_1]_{\mu_{s,t}} = [y_2]_{\mu_{s,t}}$ implies $(y_1, y_2) \in \mu_{s,t}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e_1l_1 = sy_1 &\Rightarrow (l_1, y_1) \in P_{e_1, s} \\ (y_1, y_2) &\in \mu_{s, t} && \Rightarrow (l_1, l_2) \in P_{e_1, s} \circ \mu_{s, t} \circ P_{t, e_2} \\ e_2l_2 = ty_2 &\Rightarrow (y_2, l_2) \in P_{t, e_2} \end{aligned}$$

that is, $P_{ue_1, ve_2} \subseteq P_{e_1, s} \circ \mu_{s, t} \circ P_{t, e_2}$, and so (i) is proved.

Now let $(t_1, t_2) \in \ker \lambda_u \cap (e_1S \times e_1S)$, for $t_1, t_2 \in S$. So $ut_1 = ut_2$ and there exist $w_1, w_2 \in S$ such that $t_1 = e_1w_1$ and $t_2 = e_1w_2$. So $ue_1w_1 = ut_1 = ut_2 = ue_1w_2$. Then $f(e_1w_1) = (fe_1)w_1 = (hue_1)w_1 = h(ue_1w_1) = h(ue_1w_2) = (hue_1)w_2 = (fe_1)w_2 = f(e_1w_2)$.

According to the definition of f of the last equality, two cases can be considered.

Case 1. $e_1w_1, e_1w_2 \in S \setminus sS$ then: $f(e_1w_1) = f(e_1w_2) \Rightarrow \alpha(e_1w_1) = \alpha(e_1w_2) \Rightarrow e_1w_1 = e_1w_2 \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2 \Rightarrow (t_1, t_2) \in \rho_{sS}$

Case 2. $e_1w_1, e_1w_2 \in sS$ then there exist $y_1, y_2 \in S$ such that $e_1w_1 = sy_1$ and $e_1w_2 = sy_2$ so $(t_1, t_2) = (e_1w_1, e_1w_2) = (sy_1, sy_2) \in (sS \times sS) \cup \Delta_S = \rho_{sS}$. Thus $\ker \lambda_u \cap (e_1S \times e_1S) \subseteq \rho_{sS}$. Similarly (iii).

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is an fg-weakly injective right S -act and let $as = a't$, for $a, a' \in A$ and $s, t \in S$. By assumption, there exist $u, v \in S$ and $e_1, e_2 \in E(S)$ such that $e_1s = s$, $e_2t = t$ and $us = vt$ and conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold.

Define

$$\varphi : ue_1S \cup ve_2S \rightarrow A \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} ae_1p & \exists p \in S : x = ue_1p, \\ a'e_2q & \exists q \in S : x = ve_2q. \end{cases}$$

First we show that φ is well-defined. If there exist $p, q \in S$ such that $ue_1p = ve_2q$, then $(p, q) \in P_{ue_1, ve_2}$. So by condition (i), there exist $y_1, y_2 \in S$ such that $(p, y_1) \in P_{e_1, s}$, $(y_1, y_2) \in \mu_{s, t}$ and $(y_2, q) \in P_{t, e_2}$. Then $e_1p = sy_1$, $e_2q = ty_2$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in \ker \lambda_s \vee \ker \lambda_t = \mu_{s, t}$. By this last relation, there exist $z_1, \dots, z_n \in S$ such that:

$$sy_1 = sz_1, \quad sz_2 = sz_3, \quad \dots \quad sz_{n-1} = sz_n, \quad tz_1 = tz_2, \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad tz_n = ty_2$$

and so

$$ae_1p = asy_1 = asz_1 = a'tz_1 = a'tz_2 = \dots = a'tz_n = a'ty_2 = a'e_2q.$$

Now let $p_1, p_2 \in S$ such that $ue_1p_1 = ue_1p_2$, then $(e_1p_1, e_1p_2) \in \ker \lambda_u \cap (e_1S \times e_1S)$ and so by (ii), $e_1p_1 = e_1p_2$ or there exist $y_1, y_2 \in S$ such that $e_1p_1 = sy_1$ and

$e_1p_2 = sy_2$. If $e_1p_1 = e_1p_2$ then $ae_1p_1 = ae_1p_2$. If $e_1p_1 = sy_1$ and $e_1p_2 = sy_2$ then $usy_1 = ue_1p_1 = ue_1p_2 = usy_2 = vty_2$ and so, $ue_1sy_1 = ve_2ty_2$ then $(sy_1, ty_2) \in P_{ue_1, ve_2}$. So by condition (i), there exist $l_1, l_2 \in S$ such that $(sy_1, l_1) \in P_{e_1, s}$, $(l_1, l_2) \in \mu_{s, t}$ and $(l_2, ty_2) \in P_{t, e_2}$. Then $sy_1 = e_1sy_1 = sl_1$, $ty_2 = e_2ty_2 = tl_2$ and $(l_1, l_2) \in \ker\lambda_s \vee \ker\lambda_t = \mu_{s, t}$. Thus, there exist $z'_1, \dots, z'_m \in S$ such that:

$$sl_1 = sz'_1, \quad sz'_2 = sz'_3, \quad \dots \quad sz'_{m-1} = sz'_m, \quad tz'_1 = tz'_2, \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad tz'_m = tl_2$$

and so

$$ae_1p_1 = asy_1 = asl_1 = asz'_1 = a'tz'_1 = a'tz'_2 = \dots = a'tz'_m = a'tl_2 = a'ty_2 = asy_2 = ae_1p_2$$

If there exist $q_1, q_2 \in S$ such that $ve_2q_1 = ve_2q_2$, then by conditions (i) and (iii), with a similar argument $a'e_2q_1 = a'e_2q_2$. Thus, φ is well-defined, and obviously it is a homomorphism. Since by assumption, A is an fg-weakly injective right S -act, there exists a homomorphism $\psi : S \rightarrow A$ such that $\psi|_{ue_1S \cup ve_2S} = \varphi$.

Let $a'' = \psi(1)$, then $ae_1 = \varphi(ue_1) = \psi(ue_1) = \psi(1)ue_1 = a''ue_1$ and $a'e_2 = \varphi(ve_2) = \psi(ve_2) = \psi(1)ve_2 = a''ve_2$. that is, A satisfies condition (P_E) . \square

References

- [1] M. Arabtash, A. Golchin, H. Mohammadzadeh, *On condition (G-PWP)*, Categ. Gen. Algebr. Struct. Appl., **5** (2016), 55 – 84.
- [2] A. Golchin, H. Mohammadzadeh, *On condition (E'P)*, J. Sci. Islam. Repub. Iran, **17** (2006), 343 – 349.
- [3] A. Golchin, H. Mohammadzadeh, *On homological classification of monoids by condition (P_E) of right acts*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., **25** (2009), 175 – 186.
- [4] A. Golchin, J. Renshaw, *A flatness property of acts over monoids*, Conference on Semigroup, Univ. St. Andrews, (1998), 72 – 77.
- [5] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, OUP, 1995.
- [6] M. Kilp, U. Knauer, A. Mikhalev, *Monoids, Acts and Categories: With Applications to Wreath Products and Graphs: A Handbook for students and Researchers*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [7] V. Laan, *On classification of monoids by properties of cofree acts*, Semigroup Forum, **59** (1999), 79 – 92.
- [8] V. Laan, *Pullbacks and flatness properties of acts*, PhD Thesis, Tartu, 1999.
- [9] M. Sedaghatjoo, *On monoids over which all generators satisfy a flatness property* Semigroup Forum, **87** (2013), 653 – 662.
- [10] A. Zare, A. Golchin, H. Mohammadzadeh, *\mathfrak{R} -torsion free acts over monoids*, J. Sci. Islam. Repub. Iran, **24** (2013), 275 – 285.

Received May 27, 2021

Department of Mathematics, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran

E-mails: Zohre_Khaki@yahoo.com, hmsdm@math.usb.ac.ir, Leila_Nouri@math.usb.ac.ir