

Normal subgyrogroups of certain gyrogroups

Soheila Mahdavi, Ali Reza Ashrafi and Mohammad Ali Salahshour

Abstract. Suppose that (T, \star) is a groupoid with a left identity such that each element $a \in T$ has a left inverse. Then T is called a *gyrogroup* if and only if (i) there exists a function $gyr : T \times T \rightarrow Aut(T)$ such that for all $a, b, c \in T$, $a \star (b \star c) = (a \star b) \star gyr[a, b]c$, where $gyr[a, b]c = gyr(a, b)(c)$; and (ii) for all $a, b \in T$, $gyr[a, b] = gyr[a \star b, b]$. In this paper, the structure of normal subgyrogroups of certain gyrogroups are investigated.

1. Introduction

Gyrogroup theory started in 1988 by Ungar [5] in which he proved that the set of all 3-dimensional relativistically admissible velocities possesses a group-like structure in which the group-like operation is given by the standard relativistic velocity composition law. In another paper [6], he has shown that the Thomas rotation, in turn, gives rise to a non-associative group-like structure for the set of relativistically admissible velocities. Nowadays this non-associative group-like structure is known as a gyrogroup. In an algebraic language, if (T, \star) is a groupoid with a left identity such that each element $a \in T$ has a left inverse. Then T is called a *gyrogroup* if and only if (i) there exists a function $gyr : T \times T \rightarrow Aut(T)$ such that for all $a, b, c \in T$, $a \star (b \star c) = (a \star b) \star gyr[a, b]c$, where $gyr[a, b]c = gyr(a, b)(c)$; and (ii) for all $a, b \in T$, $gyr[a, b] = gyr[a \star b, b]$. It is easy to see that it is a generalization of a group by defining the gyroautomorphisms to be the identity automorphism.

Let T be a gyrogroup and let H be a non-empty subset of T . If H is a group under the induced operation of T , then H is called a *subgroup* of T , and if H is a gyrogroup under the induced operation of T , then we use the term *subgyrogroup* for H . If H is the kernel of a homomorphism from T to another gyrogroup, then H is called a *normal subgyrogroup* of T ; see [2] for more details.

Suppose that (H^+, \oplus) is a gyrogroup, H^- is a non-empty set disjoint from H^+ such that $|H^+| = |H^-|$ and $\varphi : H^+ \rightarrow H^-$ is bijective. Set $G = H^+ \cup H^-$ and $a^- = \varphi(a^+)$. For arbitrary elements $a^\epsilon, b^\delta \in G$, we define:

$$a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta = \begin{cases} a^+ \oplus b^+ & \epsilon = \delta = + \text{ or } \epsilon = \delta = - \\ (a^+ \oplus b^+)^- & \epsilon = +, \delta = - \text{ or } \epsilon = -, \delta = + \end{cases}.$$

The function $gyr_G : G \times G \rightarrow Aut(G)$ is given by

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N05, 20F99, 20D99.

Keywords: Gyrogroup, normal subgyrogroup, groupoid.

$$\text{gyr}_G[a^\epsilon, b^\delta](t^\gamma) = \begin{cases} \text{gyr}_{H^+}[a^+, b^+](t^+) & \gamma = + \\ (\text{gyr}_{H^+}[a^+, b^+](t^+))^- & \gamma = - \end{cases},$$

where a^ϵ, b^δ and t^γ are arbitrary elements of G .

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *A non-empty subset M of G is a normal subgyrogroup if and only if one of the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. $M \trianglelefteq H^+$;
2. *there exists $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$ and $L^- \subseteq H^-$ such that $M = N^+ \cup L^-$ and for each $x, y \in L^-$, $x \otimes y \in N^+$. Also, $N^+ \cap L^+ = \emptyset$ and $N^+ \cup L^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$, where $L^+ = \varphi^{-1}(L^-)$;*
3. $M = N^+ \cup N^-$ such that $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$ and $N^- = \varphi(N^+)$.

Throughout this paper, our notations are standard and can be taken mainly from the books [7, 9]. We refer the readers to consult the survey article [8] for a complete history of gyrogroups. Our calculations are checked by the aid of GAP [10].

2. Preliminary results

The following result of Suksumran [2, Theorem 32] is crucial throughout this paper:

Theorem 2.1. *Let (T, \star) be a gyrogroup containing a subgyrogroup H . Then H is normal in T if and only if for all $a, b \in T$, $a \star (H \star b) = (a \star b) \star H = (a \star H) \star b$.*

The present authors [1] obtained the structure of the subgyrogroups of G which is important in finding its normal subgyrogroups.

Theorem 2.2. *With above notations, (G, \otimes) is a gyrogroup. A non-empty subset B of G is a subgyrogroup of (G, \otimes) if and only if one of the following three conditions hold:*

- (a) $B \leq H^+$;
- (b) *there exists $A^+ \leq H^+$ and $L^- \subseteq H^-$ such that $B = A^+ \cup L^-$ and for each $x, y \in L^-$, $x \otimes y \in A^+$. Moreover, $A^+ \cap L^+ = \emptyset$ and $A^+ \cup L^+ \leq H^+$, where $L^+ = \varphi^{-1}(L^-)$;*
- (c) $B = A^+ \cup A^-$ such that $A^+ \leq H^+$ and $A^- = \varphi(A^+)$.

Corollary 2.3. *The gyrogroup (G, \otimes) satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $H^+ \trianglelefteq G$.
2. *If $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$, then $N^+ \trianglelefteq G$*

Proof. The case (1) is [1, Theorem 2.10]. To prove (2), we assume that a^ϵ, b^δ are arbitrary elements of G . We consider two cases as follows:

(i) $\epsilon = \delta = +$ or $\epsilon = \delta = -$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (a^+ \otimes b^+) \otimes N^+ &= (a^+ \oplus b^+) \otimes N^+ = (a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N^+ \\ (a^+ \otimes N^+) \otimes b^+ &= (a^+ \oplus N^+) \otimes b^+ = (a^+ \oplus N^+) \oplus b^+ \\ a^+ \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^+) &= a^+ \otimes (N^+ \oplus b^+) = a^+ \oplus (N^+ \oplus b^+) \\ (a^- \otimes b^-) \otimes N^+ &= (a^+ \oplus b^+) \otimes N^+ = (a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N^+ \\ (a^- \otimes N^+) \otimes b^- &= (a^+ \oplus N^+)^- \otimes b^- = (a^+ \oplus N^+) \oplus b^+ \\ a^- \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^-) &= a^- \otimes (N^+ \oplus b^+)^- = a^+ \oplus (N^+ \oplus b^+) \end{aligned}$$

Note that by our assumption, $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$ and so for all $a^+, b^+ \in H^+$,

$$(a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N^+ = (a^+ \oplus N^+) \oplus b^+ = a^+ \oplus (N^+ \oplus b^+).$$

This shows that

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+ = (a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta).$$

(ii) $(\epsilon, \delta) = (+, -)$ or $(-, +)$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (a^+ \otimes b^-) \otimes N^+ &= (a^+ \oplus b^+)^- \otimes N^+ = ((a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N^+)^- \\ (a^+ \otimes N^+) \otimes b^- &= (a^+ \oplus N^+) \otimes b^- = ((a^+ \oplus N^+) \oplus b^+)^- \\ a^+ \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^-) &= a^+ \otimes (N^+ \oplus b^+)^- = (a^+ \oplus (N^+ \oplus b^+))^- \\ (a^- \otimes b^+) \otimes N^+ &= (a^+ \oplus b^+)^- \otimes N^+ = ((a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N^+)^- \\ (a^- \otimes N^+) \otimes b^+ &= (a^+ \oplus N^+)^- \otimes b^+ = ((a^+ \oplus N^+) \oplus b^+)^- \\ a^- \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^+) &= a^- \otimes (N^+ \oplus b^+) = (a^+ \oplus (N^+ \oplus b^+))^- \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption, $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$ and so for all $a^+, b^+ \in H^+$,

$$(a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N^+ = (a^+ \oplus N^+) \oplus b^+ = a^+ \oplus (N^+ \oplus b^+).$$

Since ϕ is bijective,

$$((a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N^+)^- = ((a^+ \oplus N^+) \oplus b^+)^- = (a^+ \oplus (N^+ \oplus b^+))^-.$$

This shows that

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+ = (a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta).$$

This proves that $N^+ \trianglelefteq G$, as desired. \square

3. Proof of the main result

The aim of this section is to prove the main result of this paper. To do this, we assume that M is a normal subgroup of the gyrogroup G introduced in Section 1. By Theorem 2.1, for each $a^\epsilon, b^\delta \in G = H^+ \cup H^-$,

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes M = (a^\epsilon \otimes M) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (M \otimes b^\delta). \quad (1)$$

By Theorem 2.2, one of the following conditions hold:

- (a) $M \leq H^+$;
- (b) there exists $N^+ \leq H^+$ and $L^- \subseteq H^-$ such that $M = N^+ \cup L^-$ and for all $x, y \in L^-$, $x \otimes y \in N^+$. Also, $N^+ \cap L^+ = \emptyset$ and $N^+ \cup L^+ \leq H^+$, where $L^+ = \varphi^{-1}(L^-)$;
- (c) $M = N^+ \cup N^-$ such that $N^- = \varphi(N^+)$.

Suppose that the condition (a) is satisfied. Then by considering $\delta = \epsilon = +$ in Equation (1), $M \leq H^+$. If condition (b) is satisfied, then $H^+ \cap M = (H^+ \cap N^+) \cup (H^+ \cap L^-) = H^+ \cap N^+ = N^+$. Note that by our assumption, M is normal in G and by Corollary 2.3(1), $H^+ \trianglelefteq G$. Hence, by [3, Theorem 2.2], $N^+ \trianglelefteq G$, which implies that $N^+ \leq H^+$. To complete this part, it is enough to prove that $N^+ \cup L^+ \leq H^+$, where $L^+ = \varphi^{-1}(L^-)$. By our assumption, $M = N^+ \cup L^- \leq G$ and by Equation (1), $(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes (N^+ \cup L^-) = (a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \cup L^-)) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes ((N^+ \cup L^-) \otimes b^\delta)$, where a^ϵ, b^δ are arbitrary elements of G . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} ((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+) \cup ((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes L^-) &= ((a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta) \cup ((a^\epsilon \otimes L^-) \otimes b^\delta) \\ &= (a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta)) \cup (a^\epsilon \otimes (L^- \otimes b^\delta)). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We know that $N^+ \leq H^+$, and by Corollary 2.3(2), $N^+ \trianglelefteq G$. So for all $a^\epsilon, b^\delta \in G$,

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+ = (a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta) \quad (3)$$

Since $N^+ \cap L^- = \emptyset$, by Equations (2) and (3),

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes L^- = (a^\epsilon \otimes L^-) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (L^- \otimes b^\delta).$$

In the previous equation, we set $\epsilon = \delta = +$, then

$$(a^+ \otimes b^+) \otimes L^- = (a^+ \otimes L^-) \otimes b^+ = a^+ \otimes (L^- \otimes b^+).$$

By definition, $((a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus L^+)^- = ((a^+ \oplus L^+) \oplus b^+)^- = (a^+ \oplus (L^+ \oplus b^+))^-$. Since φ is bijective, $(a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus L^+ = (a^+ \oplus L^+) \oplus b^+ = a^+ \oplus (L^+ \oplus b^+)$. By the last equality and Equation (3), $((a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus N) \cup ((a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus L^+) = ((a^+ \oplus N) \oplus b^+) \cup ((a^+ \oplus L^+) \oplus b^+) = (a^+ \oplus (N \oplus b^+)) \cup (a^+ \oplus (L^+ \oplus b^+))$ and hence $(a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus (N^+ \cup L^+) = (a^+ \oplus (N^+ \cup L^+)) \oplus b^+ = a^+ \oplus ((N^+ \cup L^+) \oplus b^+)$. Then $N^+ \cup L^+ \leq H^+$. This

completes the proof of (2). To prove (3), we have to show that $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$. By condition (c), $H^+ \cap M = (H^+ \cap N^+) \cup (H^+ \cap N^-) = H^+ \cap N^+ = N^+$. By our assumption, $M \trianglelefteq G$ and by Corollary 2.3, $H^+ \trianglelefteq G$. We now apply [3, Theorem 2.2] to deduce that $N^+ \trianglelefteq G$. Therefore, $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$, as desired.

Conversely, we assume that M satisfies one of the conditions (1), (2) or (3) in Theorem 1.1. It will be shown that $M \trianglelefteq G$. To do this, the following three cases will be considered:

(A) $M \trianglelefteq H^+$. By Corollary 2.3(2), $M \trianglelefteq G$.

(B) *There exists $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$ and $L^- \subseteq H^-$ such that $M = N^+ \cup L^-$ and for all $x, y \in L^-$, $x \otimes y \in N^+$. Also, $N^+ \cap L^+ = \emptyset$ and $N^+ \cup L^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$, where $L^+ = \varphi^{-1}(L^-)$. Since $N^+, N^+ \cup L^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$, by Corollary 2.3(2), $N^+, N^+ \cup L^+ \trianglelefteq G$. Then by Theorem 2.1, for all $a^\epsilon, b^\delta \in G$,*

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+ = (a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta) \quad (4)$$

and $(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes (N^+ \cup L^+) = (a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \cup L^+)) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes ((N^+ \cup L^+) \otimes b^\delta)$. Hence, $((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+) \cup ((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes L^+) = ((a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta) \cup ((a^\epsilon \otimes L^+) \otimes b^\delta) = (a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta)) \cup (a^\epsilon \otimes (L^+ \otimes b^\delta))$. Since $N^+ \cap L^+ = \emptyset$, by Equation (4) and the last equality,

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes L^+ = (a^\epsilon \otimes L^+) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (L^+ \otimes b^\delta). \quad (5)$$

Since φ is bijective,

$$((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes L^+)^- = ((a^\epsilon \otimes L^+) \otimes b^\delta)^- = (a^\epsilon \otimes (L^+ \otimes b^\delta))^- \quad (6)$$

Now, we claim that for all $a^\epsilon, b^\delta \in G$, the following equality holds:

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes L^- = (a^\epsilon \otimes L^-) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (L^- \otimes b^\delta). \quad (7)$$

We consider two cases as follows:

(B1) $(\epsilon, \delta) = (+, +)$ or $(-, -)$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (a^+ \otimes b^+) \otimes L^- &= (a^+ \oplus b^+) \otimes L^- = ((a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus L^+)^- \\ (a^+ \otimes L^-) \otimes b^+ &= (a^+ \oplus L^+)^- \otimes b^+ = ((a^+ \oplus L^+) \oplus b^+)^- \\ a^+ \otimes (L^- \otimes b^+) &= a^+ \otimes (L^+ \oplus b^+)^- = (a^+ \oplus (L^+ \oplus b^+))^- \\ (a^- \otimes b^-) \otimes L^- &= (a^- \oplus b^-) \otimes L^- = ((a^- \oplus b^-) \oplus L^-)^- \\ (a^- \otimes L^-) \otimes b^- &= (a^- \oplus L^-)^- \otimes b^- = ((a^- \oplus L^-) \oplus b^-)^- \\ a^- \otimes (L^- \otimes b^-) &= a^- \otimes (L^- \oplus b^-) = (a^- \oplus (L^- \oplus b^-))^- \end{aligned}$$

In this case, by Equation (6), our claim is true.

(B2) $(\epsilon, \delta) = (+, -)$ or $(-, +)$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (a^+ \otimes b^-) \otimes L^- &= (a^+ \oplus b^+)^- \otimes L^- = (a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus L^+ \\ (a^+ \otimes L^-) \otimes b^- &= (a^+ \oplus L^+)^- \otimes b^- = (a^+ \oplus L^+) \oplus b^+ \\ a^+ \otimes (L^- \otimes b^-) &= a^+ \otimes (L^+ \oplus b^+) = a^+ \oplus (L^+ \oplus b^+) \\ (a^- \otimes b^+) \otimes L^- &= (a^+ \oplus b^+)^- \otimes L^- = (a^+ \oplus b^+) \oplus L^+ \\ (a^- \otimes L^-) \otimes b^+ &= (a^+ \oplus L^+) \otimes b^+ = (a^+ \oplus L^+) \oplus b^+ \\ a^- \otimes (L^- \otimes b^+) &= a^- \otimes (L^+ \oplus b^+)^- = a^+ \oplus (L^+ \oplus b^+) \end{aligned}$$

Also, in this case, by Equation (5), our claim is true.

By Equations (4) and (7), we can see that $((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+) \cup ((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes L^-) = ((a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta) \cup ((a^\epsilon \otimes L^-) \otimes b^\delta) = (a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta)) \cup (a^\epsilon \otimes (L^- \otimes b^\delta))$, which is equivalent to $((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes (N^+ \cup L^-)) = ((a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \cup L^-)) \otimes b^\delta) = (a^\epsilon \otimes ((N^+ \cup L^-) \otimes b^\delta))$ or $((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes M) = ((a^\epsilon \otimes M) \otimes b^\delta) = (a^\epsilon \otimes (M \otimes b^\delta))$. This proves that $M \trianglelefteq G$.

(C) $M = N^+ \cup N^-$ such that $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$ and $N^- = \varphi(N^+)$. By Theorem 2.2, $M \leq G$. Since $N^+ \trianglelefteq H^+$, by Corollary 2.3, $N^+ \trianglelefteq G$. By Theorem 1.1, for all $a^\epsilon, b^\delta \in G$,

$$(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+ = (a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta). \quad (8)$$

Since φ is bijective, $((a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+)^- = ((a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta)^- = (a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta))^-$. Similar to the part B, we can show that $(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^- = (a^\epsilon \otimes N^-) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (N^- \otimes b^\delta)$. By the last equality and Equation (8), $(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^+ \cup (a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes N^- = (a^\epsilon \otimes N^+) \otimes b^\delta \cup (a^\epsilon \otimes N^-) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \otimes b^\delta) \cup a^\epsilon \otimes (N^- \otimes b^\delta)$ and so $(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes (N^+ \cup N^-) = (a^\epsilon \otimes (N^+ \cup N^-)) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes ((N^+ \cup N^-) \otimes b^\delta)$. We now apply our assumption to deduce that $(a^\epsilon \otimes b^\delta) \otimes M = (a^\epsilon \otimes M) \otimes b^\delta = a^\epsilon \otimes (M \otimes b^\delta)$, which means that $M \trianglelefteq G$.

Table 1: The Cayley Table of $K(1)$ such that $A = (4, 5)(6, 7)$.

\oplus	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	gyr_K	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
1	1	0	3	2	5	4	7	6	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
2	2	3	0	1	6	7	4	5	2	I	I	I	I	A	A	A	A
3	3	2	1	0	7	6	5	4	3	I	I	I	I	A	A	A	A
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	I	I	A	A	I	I	A	A
5	5	4	7	6	1	0	3	2	5	I	I	A	A	I	I	A	A
6	6	7	4	5	3	2	1	0	6	I	I	A	A	A	A	I	I
7	7	6	5	4	2	3	0	1	7	I	I	A	A	A	A	I	I

4. Concluding remarks

In this paper, the normal subgyrogroups of a class of finite gyrogroups is characterized. In this section, we check our main result by a Gap code on three examples

that is introduced in [1]. Our Gap code is accessible from the authors upon request. Suppose that $K(1)$ is the gyrogroup such that its Cayley table is given in Table 1. We apply our method to construct the gyrogroups $K(2)$ from $K(1)$ and hence $|K(1)| = 8$ and $|K(2)| = 16$. Our calculations show that all normal

Table 2: The addition Table of $K(2)$.

\oplus	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	1	0	3	2	5	4	7	6	9	8	11	10	13	12	15	14
2	2	3	0	1	6	7	4	5	10	11	8	9	14	15	12	13
3	3	2	1	0	7	6	5	4	11	10	9	8	15	14	13	12
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	12	13	14	15	8	9	10	11
5	5	4	7	6	1	0	3	2	13	12	15	14	9	8	11	10
6	6	7	4	5	3	2	1	0	14	15	12	13	11	10	9	8
7	7	6	5	4	2	3	0	1	15	14	13	12	10	11	8	9
8	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9	9	8	11	10	13	12	15	14	1	0	3	2	5	4	7	6
10	10	11	8	9	14	15	12	13	2	3	0	1	6	7	4	5
11	11	10	9	8	15	14	13	12	3	2	1	0	7	6	5	4
12	12	13	14	15	8	9	10	11	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3
13	13	12	15	14	9	8	11	10	5	4	7	6	1	0	3	2
14	14	15	12	13	11	10	9	8	6	7	4	5	3	2	1	0
15	15	14	13	12	10	11	8	9	7	6	5	4	2	3	0	1

Table 3: The gyration table of $K(2)$ such that $A = (4, 5)(6, 7)(12, 13)(14, 15)$.

gyr	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>
1	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>
2	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
3	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
4	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
5	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
6	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>
7	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>
8	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>
9	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>
10	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
11	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
12	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
13	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
14	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>
15	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>

subgyrogroups of $K(1)$ and $K(2)$ are in Table 4, respectively. In both cases, these normal subgyrogroups can be obtained from our main result. A nondegenerate gyrogroup is a gyrogroup that is not a group. In Table 4, the nondegenerate normal subgyrogroups are bolded.

Acknowledgement. The work of the second named author is partially supported by the University of Kashan under grant no. 364988/67.

Table 4: The normal subgyrogroups of $K(1)$ and $K(2)$.

Gyrogroup	The normal subgyrogroups
$K(1)$	$\{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5\}, \{0, 1, 6, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$
$K(2)$	$\{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5\}, \{0, 1, 6, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$ $\{0, 8\}, \{0, 9\}, \{0, 1, 8, 9\}, \{0, 1, 10, 11\}, \{0, 1, 12, 13\}, \{0, 1, 14, 15\},$ $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 12, 13, 14, 15\},$ $\{0, 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15\},$ $\{0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15\}, \{0, 1, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 13, 14, 15\}$

References

- [1] S. Mahdavi, A.R. Ashrafi, M.A. Salahshour, *Construction of new gyrogroups and the structure of their subgyrogroups*, Alg. Struc. Appl., **8** (2021), no. 2, 17 – 30.
- [2] T. Suksumran, *The algebra of gyrogroups: Cayley’s theorem, Lagrange’s theorem, and isomorphism theorems*, Essays in Mathematics and its Applications, 369–437, Springer, 2016.
- [3] T. Suksumran, *Special subgroups of gyrogroups: Commutators, nuclei and radical*, Math. Interdiscip. Res., **1** (2016), no. 1, 53 – 68.
- [4] T. Suksumran, *Gyrogroup actions: a generalization of group actions*, J. Algebra, **454** (2016), 70 – 91.
- [5] A.A. Ungar, *The Thomas rotation formalism underlying a nonassociative group structure for relativistic velocities*, Appl. Math. Lett., **1** (1988), no. 4, 403 – 405.
- [6] A.A. Ungar, *Axiomatic approach to the nonassociative group of relativistic velocities*, Found. Phys., **2** (1989), no. 2, 199 – 203.
- [7] A.A. Ungar, *Beyond the Einstein Addition law and its Gyroscopic Thomas Precession. The Theory of Gyrogroups and Gyrovector Spaces*, Fundamental Theories of Physics **117**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [8] A.A. Ungar, *The intrinsic beauty, harmony and interdisciplinarity in Einstein velocity addition law: Gyrogroups and gyrovector spaces*, Math. Interdisc. Res., **1** (2016), no. 1, 5 – 51.
- [9] A.A. Ungar, *Beyond Pseudo-Rotations in Pseudo-Euclidean Spaces. An Introduction to the Theory of Bi-Gyrogroups and Bi-Gyrovector Spaces*, Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications, Academic Press, London, 2018.
- [10] The GAP Team, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming*, Version 4.7.5; 2014.

Received July 06, 2021

A.R. Ashrafi, S. Mahdavi
 Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Kashan,
 87317-53153, Kashan, I. R. Iran
 e-mails: ashrafi@kashanu.ac.ir s.mahdavi@grad.kashanu.ac.ir

M.A. Salahshour
 Department of Mathematics, Savadkooh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Savadkooh, I. R. Iran
 e-mail: salahshour@iausk.ac.ir